

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Tumors of Soft Tissue

Protocol applies to soft tissue tumors of intermediate (locally aggressive) and intermediate (rarely metastasizing) potential and malignant soft tissue tumors.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition

Protocol web posting date: October 2013

Procedures

- Biopsy
- Resection

Authors

Brian P. Rubin, MD, PhD, FCAP* Department of Anatomic Pathology, Cleveland Clinic, Lerner Research Institute and Taussig Cancer Center, Cleveland, Ohio Kumarasen Cooper, MBChB, DPhil, FRCPath Department of Pathology, University of Vermont, Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, Vermont Christopher D.M. Fletcher, MD, FRCPath Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts Andrew Lawrence Folpe, MD, FCAP Department of Anatomic Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota Francis H. Gannon, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas Jennifer Leigh Hunt, MD, FCAP Department of Anatomic Pathology, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio Alexander J. Lazar, MD PhD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Sarcoma Research Center, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas Anthony G. Montag, MD Department of Pathology, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois Terrance D. Peabody, MD Department of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois Raphael E. Pollock, MD, PhD Department of Surgical Oncology, Sarcoma Research Center, The University of Texas M D Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas John D. Reith, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Immunology, and Laboratory Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida Stephen J. Qualman, MD, FCAP** Department of Laboratory Medicine, Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio Andrew E. Rosenberg, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts Sharon W. Weiss, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia Thomas Krausz, MD, FRCPath[†] Department of Pathology, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois

For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

* Denotes primary author. † Denotes senior author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically. ** Deceased.

© 2013 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the required data elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

CAP Soft Tissue Protocol Revision History

Version Code

The definition of version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: SoftTissue 3.1.2.0

Summary of Changes

The following changes have been made since the June 2012 release.

Biopsy; Resection

Treatment Effect

Added "required only if applicable" to this element.

Explanatory Notes

A. Tissue Processing Table 1 was updated.

C. Histologic Classification The WHO classification was updated.

D. Grading

The second sentence was modified, as follows:

Whilst normograms assess multiple clinical and histological parameters to calculate the probability of recurrence for a given patient,⁵ there is, however, no generally agreed-upon scheme for grading soft tissue tumors.⁶

Differentiation: The definitions of the scores were updated.

Table 2

The histologic types were updated.

<u>Mitosis Count</u>: Score 3 definition was updated. <u>Tumor Necrosis</u>: Score 1 and 2 definitions were updated.

References

References #4, 5, and 7 were updated.

Important Note

These recommendations are designed to be applied principally to soft tissue sarcomas in teenagers and adults, since pediatric sarcomas are, in general, treated under strict protocols that may differ significantly from the recommendations supplied herein.¹

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: October 2013

SOFT TISSUE: Biopsy

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Procedure (Note A)

- ___ Core needle biopsy
- ____ Incisional biopsy
- ____ Excisional biopsy

___ Other (specify): _____

____ Not specified

Tumor Site Specify (if known): _____ ___ Not specified

Tumor Size (Note B)

Greatest dimension: ___ cm + Additional dimensions: ___ x __ cm __ Cannot be determined (see "Comment")

Macroscopic Extent of Tumor (select all that apply)

- ____ Superficial
 - ___ Dermal

___ Deep

- ____ Fascial
- ____ Subfascial
- ____ Intramuscular
- ____ Mediastinal
- ___ Intra-abdominal
- ____ Retroperitoneal
- Head and neck
- ____ Other (specify): ______

___ Cannot be determined

Histologic Type (World Health Organization [WHO] classification of soft tissue tumors) (Note C) Specify:

___ Cannot be determined

.

Mitotic Rate (Note D) Specify: ____ /10 high-power fields (HPF) (1 HPF x 400 = 0.1734 mm²; X40 objective; most proliferative area)

Necrosis (Note D)

Not identified
Present
Extent:%
Cannot be determined

Histologic Grade (French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group [FNCLCC]) (Note D)

- ___ Grade 1
- ___ Grade 2
- ___ Grade 3
- ____ Ungraded sarcoma
- ___ Cannot be determined

Margins (for excisional biopsy only) (Note E)

- ___ Cannot be assessed
- ____ Margins negative for sarcoma
 - Distance of sarcoma from closest margin: ___ cm
 - Specify margin: _____

Specify other close (less than 2.0 cm) margin(s): _____

- ___ Margin(s) positive for sarcoma
 - Specify margin(s): _____

+ Lymph-Vascular Invasion (Note F)

- + ____ Not identified
- + ____ Present
- + ____ Indeterminate

+ Additional Pathologic Findings

+ Specify: _____

Ancillary Studies (required only if applicable)

<u>Immunohistochemistry</u>
Specify:
Not performed
<u>Cytogenetics</u> Specify:
Not performed
Molecular Pathology

Specify: _____

____ Not performed

Prebiopsy Treatment (select all that apply)

- ___ No therapy
- ___ Chemotherapy performed
- ____ Radiation therapy performed
- ____ Therapy performed, type not specified
- ____ Unknown

Treatment Effect (required only if applicable) (Note G)

- __ Not identified
- __ Present
 - + Specify percentage of viable tumor: ____%
- _ Cannot be determined
- + Comment(s)

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: October 2013

SOFT TISSUE: Resection

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Procedure (Note H)

- ____ Intralesional resection
- ____ Marginal resection
- ____ Wide resection
- ____ Radical resection
- ___ Other (specify): _____
- ____ Not specified

Tumor Site

Specify (if known): ______ ___ Not specified

Tumor Size

Greatest dimension: ___ cm + Additional dimensions: ___ x __ cm __ Cannot be determined (see "Comment")

Macroscopic Extent of Tumor (select all that apply)

- ____ Superficial
 - ___ Dermal
 - ____ Subcutaneous/suprafascial
- ___ Deep
 - ___ Fascial
 - ____ Subfascial
 - ___ Intramuscular
 - ____ Mediastinal
 - ___ Intra-abdominal
 - ____ Retroperitoneal
 - ___ Head and neck
 - ___ Other (specify):_____

___ Cannot be determined

Histologic Type (World Health Organization [WHO] classification of soft tissue tumors) (Note C, Note I)

Specify: ___

___ Cannot be determined

Mitotic Rate (Note D)

Specify: ____ /10 high-power fields (HPF) (1 HPF x 400 = 0.1734 mm²; X40 objective; most proliferative area)

Necrosis (macroscopic or microscopic) (Note D)

- ____ Not identified
- ____ Present

Extent: ____%

Histologic Grade (French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group [FNCLCC]) (Note

- D)
- ___ Grade 1
- ___ Grade 2
- ___ Grade 3
- ____ Ungraded sarcoma
- ___ Cannot be determined

Margins (Note E)

- ___ Cannot be assessed
- ____ Margins negative for sarcoma
 - Distance of sarcoma from closest margin: ____ cm
 - Specify margin: ____

Specify other close (less than 2.0 cm) margin(s): _____

- ____ Margin(s) positive for sarcoma
 - Specify margin(s): _____

+ Lymph-Vascular Invasion (Note F)

- + ____ Not identified
- + ____ Present
- + ____ Indeterminate

Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (Note J)

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)

- ____ m (multiple)
- ____r (recurrent)
- ____y (posttreatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)

- ____pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- ____ pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
- ____pT1a: Tumor 5 cm or less in greatest dimension, superficial tumor
- ____ pT1b: Tumor 5 cm or less in greatest dimension, deep tumor
- ____pT2a: Tumor more than 5 cm in greatest dimension, superficial tumor
- ____ pT2b: Tumor more than 5 cm in greatest dimension, deep tumor

CAP Approved

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN) (Notes J and K) ____ pNX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed ____pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis ____ pN1: Regional lymph node metastasis ____ No nodes submitted or found Number of Lymph Nodes Examined Specify: ____ Number cannot be determined (explain): Number of Lymph Nodes Involved Specify: Number cannot be determined (explain): Distant Metastasis (pM) (Note J) Not applicable ____ pM1: Distant metastasis + Specify site(s), if known: _____ + Additional Pathologic Findings + Specify: Ancillary Studies (required only if applicable)

<u>Immunohistochemistry</u>	
Specify:	
Not performed	

Cytogenetics

Specify:

____ Not performed

Molecular Pathology

Specify: _

____ Not performed

Preresection Treatment (select all that apply)

___ No therapy

- ___ Chemotherapy performed
- ____ Radiation therapy performed
- ____ Therapy performed, type not specified
- ____ Unknown

Treatment Effect (required only if applicable) (Note G)

- ____ Not identified
- ____ Present

+ Specify percentage of viable tumor (compared with pretreatment biopsy, if available): ____% ___ Cannot be determined

+ Comment(s)

Explanatory Notes

A. Tissue Processing

Fixation

Tissue specimens from soft tissue tumors optimally are received fresh/unfixed because of the importance of ancillary studies, such as cytogenetics, which require fresh tissue.

Tissue Submission for Histologic Evaluation

One section per centimeter of maximum dimension is usually recommended, although fewer sections per centimeter are needed for very large tumors, especially if they are homogeneous. Tumors known to be high grade from a previous biopsy do not require as many sections as those that were previously diagnosed as low grade, as documentation of a high-grade component will change stage and prognosis in the latter case. Sections should be taken of grossly heterogeneous areas, and there is no need to submit more than 1 section of necrotic tumor (always with a transition to viable tumor). Occasionally, gross pathology can be misleading, and areas that appear to be grossly necrotic may actually be myxoid or edematous. When this happens, additional sections of these areas should be submitted for histologic examination. When estimates of gross necrosis exceed those of histologic necrosis, the greater percentage of necrosis should be recorded on the surgical pathology report. In general, most tumors require 12 sections or fewer, excluding margins. Tumors with greater areas of heterogeneity may need to be sampled more thoroughly.

Fresh tissue for special studies should be submitted at the time the specimen is received. Note that classification of many subtypes of sarcoma is not dependent upon special studies, such as cytogenetics or molecular genetics, but frozen tissue may be needed to enter patients into treatment protocols. Discretion should be used in triaging tissue from sarcomas. Adequate tissue should be submitted for conventional light microscopy before tissue has been taken for cytogenetics, electron microscopy, or molecular analysis.

Molecular Studies

It is important to snap freeze a small portion of tissue whenever possible. This tissue can be used for a variety of molecular analyses for tumor-specific molecular translocations (see Table 1) that help in classifying soft tissue tumors.^{2,3} In addition, treatment protocols increasingly require fresh tissue for correlative studies. Approximately 1 cm³ of fresh tissue (less is acceptable for small specimens, including core biopsies) should be cut into small, 0.2-cm fragments, reserving sufficient tissue for histologic examination. This frozen tissue should ideally be stored at –70°C and can be shipped on dry ice to facilities that perform molecular analysis.

Histologic Type	Cytogenetic Events	Molecular Events
Alveolar soft part sarcoma	t(X;17)(p11;q25)	TFE3-ASPL fusion
Aneurysmal bone cyst	t(16;17)q22;p13)	CDH11-USP6 fusion
Angiomatoid fibrous histiocytoma	t(12;16)(q13;p11) t(12;22)(q13;q12) t(2;22)(q33;q12)	<i>FUS-ATF1</i> fusion <i>EWSR1-ATF1</i> fusion <i>EWSR1-CREB1</i> fusion
Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma	t(9;22)(q22;q12) t(9;17)(q22;q11) t(9;15)(q22;q21)	<i>EWS-NR4A3</i> fusion <i>TAF2N-NR4A3</i> fusion <i>TCF12-NR4A3</i> fusion

Table 1. Characteristic Cytogenetic and Molecular Events of Soft Tissue Tumors

Histologic Type		Cytogenetic Events	Molecular Events	
Clear cell sarcoma		t(12;22)(q13;q12) t(2;22(q33;q12)	EWSR1-ATF1 fusion EWSR1-CREB1 fusion	
	c small round cell			
tumor		t(11;22)(p13;q12)	EWSR1-WT1 fusion	
Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberan		s Ring form of chromosomes 17 and 22 t(17;22)(q21;q13)	COL1A1-PDGFB fusion COL1A1-PDGFB fusion	
Ewing sarcoma/PNET		t(11;22)(q24;q12) t(21;22)(q12;q12) t(2;22)(q33;q12) t(7;22)(p22;q12) t(17;22)(q12;q12) inv(22)(q12;q12) t(16;21)(p11;q22)	EWSR1-FLI1 fusion EWSR1-ERG fusion EWSR1-FEV fusion EWSR1-ETV1 fusion EWSR1-E1AF fusion EWSR1-ZSG fusion FUS-ERG fusion	
Fibrosarcoma, infantile		t(12;15)(p13;q26) Trisomies 8, 11, 17, and 20	ETV6-NTRK3 fusion	
Inflammator	y myofibroblastic			
tumor		t(1;2)(q22;p23) t(2;19)(p23;p13) t(2;17)(p23;q23) t(2;2)(p23;q13)	<i>TPM3-ALK</i> fusion <i>TPM4-ALK</i> fusion <i>CLTC-ALK</i> fusion <i>RANB2-ALK</i> fusion	
Leiomyosarc	coma	Complex with frequent deletion of 1p		
Liposarcomo	a			
	Well-differentiated	Ring form of chromosome 12	Amplification of <i>MDM2,</i> <i>CDK4,</i> and others	
Myxoid/Round cell		t(12;16)(q13;p11) t(12;22)(q13;q12)	<i>TLS-DDIT3</i> fusion <i>EWSR1-DDIT3</i> fusion	
Pleomorphic		Complex		
-	ibromyxoid sarcoma	t(7;16)(q33;p11)	FUS-CREB3L2 fusion	
Malignant p tumor	eripheral nerve sheatl	ר Complex		
Myxofibrosaı	rcoma (myxoid MFH)	Ring form of chromosome 12		
Rhabdoid tu	imor	Deletion of 22q	INI1 inactivation	
Rhabdomyo	osarcoma			
	Alveolar	t(2;13)(q35;q14) t(1;13)(p36;q14), double minutes t(2 ;2)(q35 ;p23)	PAX3-FOXO1A fusion PAX7-FOXO1A fusion PAX3-NCOA1 fusion PAX3-AFX fusion	
	Embryonal	Trisomies 2q, 8 and 20	Loss of heterozygosity at 11p15	
Solitary fibrous tumor		Inversion chromosome 12	NAB2-STAT6	
Synovial sarcoma				
	Monophasic	t(X;18)(p11;q11)	<i>SS18-SSX1, SS18-SSX2</i> or <i>SS18-SSX4</i> fusion	
	Biphasic	t(X;18)(p11;q11)	Predominantly SS18-SSX1 fusion	

MFH, malignant fibrous histiocytoma; PNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor.

B. Tumor Size

In cases of nonexcisional biopsy (eg, core biopsy, incisional biopsy) the tumor size cannot be determined on pathologic grounds; therefore, imaging data (computed tomography [CT], magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], etc) can be used instead.

C. Histologic Classification

Intraoperative Consultation

Histologic classification of soft tissue tumors is sufficiently complex that, in many cases, it is unreasonable to expect a precise classification of these tumors based on an intraoperative consultation. A complete understanding of the surgeon's treatment algorithm is recommended before rendering a frozen section diagnosis. Intraoperative consultation is useful in assessing if "lesional" tissue is present and in constructing a differential diagnosis that can direct the proper triage of tissue for flow cytometry (lymphoma), electron microscopy, and molecular studies/cytogenetics. Tissue triage optimally is performed at the time of frozen section. In many cases, it is important that a portion of tissue be submitted for ancillary studies, even from fine-needle aspiration (FNA) and core needle biopsy specimens, after sufficient tissue has been submitted for histologic evaluation.

Tumor Classification from Biopsies

It is not always possible to classify soft tissue tumors precisely based on biopsy material, especially FNA and core needle biopsy specimens. Although pathologists should make every attempt to classify lesions in small biopsy specimens, on occasion stratification into very basic diagnostic categories, such as lymphoma, carcinoma, melanoma, and sarcoma, is all that is possible. In some cases, precise classification is only possible in open biopsies or resection specimens.

WHO Classification of Tumors

Classification of tumors should be made according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of soft tissue tumors listed below.⁴ As part of the latest WHO classification of soft tissue tumors, a recommendation was made to divide tumors into 4 categories: benign, intermediate (locally aggressive), intermediate (rarely metastasizing), and malignant.

WHO Classification of Soft Tissue Tumors of Intermediate Malignant Potential and Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors

Adipocytic Tumors

Intermediate (locally aggressive) Atypical lipomatous tumor/Well-differentiated liposarcoma Malignant Dedifferentiated liposarcoma Myxoid/round cell liposarcoma Pleomorphic liposarcoma Mixed-type liposarcoma Liposarcoma, not otherwise specified

Fibroblastic / Myofibroblastic Tumors

Intermediate (locally aggressive) Superficial fibromatoses (palmar/plantar) Desmoid-type fibromatoses Lipofibromatosis Giant cell fibroblastoma Intermediate (rarely metastasizing)

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans

Background Documentation

Fibrosarcomatous dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans Pigmented dermatofibrosarcomatous protuberans Solitary fibrous tumor, malignant Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor Low-grade myofibroblastic sarcoma Myxoinflammatory fibroblastic sarcoma/Atypical myxoinflammatory fibroblastic tumor Infantile fibrosarcoma Malignant Adult fibrosarcoma Myxofibrosarcoma Low grade fibromyxoid sarcoma Sclerosing epithelioid fibrosarcoma So-called Fibrohistiocytic Tumors Intermediate (rarely metastasizing) Plexiform fibrohistiocytic tumor Giant cell tumor of soft tissues Smooth Muscle Tumors Malignant Leiomyosarcoma Pericytic (Perivascular) Tumors Malignant glomus tumor **Skeletal Muscle Tumors** Malignant Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma (including botryoid, anaplastic) Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (including solid, anaplastic) Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma Spindle cell/sclerosing rhabdomyosarcoma Vascular Tumors Intermediate (locally aggressive) Kaposiform hemangioendothelioma Intermediate (rarely metastasizing) Retiform hemangioendothelioma Papillary intralymphatic angioendothelioma Composite hemangioendothelioma Pseudomyogenic (epithelioid sarcoma-like) hemangioendothelioma Kaposi sarcoma Malignant Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma Angiosarcoma of soft tissue

Tumors of Peripheral Nerves

Malignant

Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor Epithelioid malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor Malignant Triton tumor Malignant granular cell tumor Ectomesenchymoma Chondro-osseous Tumors Malignant Extraskeletal mesenchymal chondrosarcoma Extraskeletal osteosarcoma Tumors of Uncertain Differentiation Intermediate (locally aggressive) Hemosiderotic fibrolipomatous tumor Intermediate (rarely metastasizing) Atypical fibroxanthoma Angiomatoid fibrous histiocytoma Ossifying fibromyxoid tumor Ossifying fibromyxoid tumor, malignant Mixed tumour Mixed tumor, NOS malignant Myoepithelioma Mvoepithelial carcinoma Phosphaturic mesenchymal tumor, benign Phosphaturic mesenchymal tumor. malignant Malignant Synovial sarcoma NOS Synovial sarcoma, spindle cell Synovial sarcoma, biphasic Epithelioid sarcoma Alveolar soft part sarcoma Clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma Extraskeletal Ewing sarcoma Desmoplastic small round cell tumor Extra-renal rhabdoid tumor Malignant mesenchymoma Neoplasms with perivascular epithelioid cell differentiation (PEComa) PEComa NOS, benign PEComa NOS, malignant Intimal sarcoma

Undifferentiated/Unclassified Sarcomas

Undifferentiated spindle cell sarcoma Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma Undifferentiated round cell sarcoma Undifferentiated epithelioid sarcoma Undifferentiated sarcoma NOS

D. Grading

Unlike with other organ systems, the staging of soft tissue sarcomas is largely determined by grade. Whilst normograms assess multiple clinical and histological parameters to calculate the probability of recurrence for a given patient,⁵ there is, however, no generally agreed-upon scheme for grading soft tissue tumors.⁶ The most widely used soft tissue grading systems are the French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group (FNCLCC) and National Cancer Institute (NCI) systems.^{7,8} Both systems have 3 grades and are based on mitotic activity, necrosis, and differentiation, and are highly correlated with prognosis.⁹ However, in addition to these criteria, the NCI system requires the quantification of cellularity and pleomorphism for certain subtypes of sarcomas, which is difficult to determine objectively. The FNCLCC system is easier to use in our opinion, and it may be slightly better in predicting prognosis than the NCI system.⁹ Other systems with 2 or 4 grades also have been used. The seventh edition of the *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*¹⁰ adopted the FNCLCC grading system. The revision of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system incorporates a 3-tiered grading system; however, grade 1 and grades 2 to 3 (effectively low and high) are used for staging groups. Accurate grading requires an adequate sample of tissue, which is not always available from FNA or core needle biopsy or in tumors previously treated with radiation or chemotherapy. However, given the importance of grade in staging and treatment, efforts to separate sarcomas on the basis of needle biopsies into at least 2 tiers (ie, low and high grade) is encouraged. In many instances the histologic type of sarcoma will readily permit this distinction (ie, Ewing sarcoma), pleomorphic liposarcoma), whereas in less obvious instances the difficulty of assigning grade should be noted. In general, multiple needle core biopsies exhibiting a highgrade sarcoma can be regarded as high grade, since the probability of subsequent downgrading is remote, but limited core biopsies of low-grade sarcoma carry a risk of upgrading.

FNCLCC Grading

The FNCLCC grade is based on 3 parameters: differentiation, mitotic activity, and necrosis. Each of these parameters receives a score: differentiation (1 to 3), mitotic activity (1 to 3), and necrosis (0 to 2). The scores are summed to produce a grade.

- Grade 1: 2 or 3
- Grade 2: 4 or 5
- Grade 3: 6 to 8

Differentiation: Tumor differentiation is scored as follows (see Table 2).

- Score 1: Sarcomas closely resembling normal, adult mesenchymal tissue and potentially difficult to distinguish from the counterpart benign tumor (eg, well-differentiated liposarcoma, well-differentiated leiomyosarcoma)
- Score 2: Sarcomas for which histological typing is certain (eg, myxoid liposarcoma, myxofibrosarcoma)
- Score 3: Embryonal sarcomas and undifferentiated sarcomas, synovial sarcomas and sarcomas of doubtful tumor type

Tumor differentiation is the most problematic aspect of the FNCLCC system. Its use is subjective and does not include every subtype of sarcoma. Nevertheless, it is an integral part of the system, and an attempt should be made to assign a differentiation score.

Table 2. Tumor Differentiation Score According to Histologic Type in the Updated Version of the

French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group System

Tumor Differentiation

Histologic Type	Score
Well-differentiated liposarcoma	1
Well-differentiated leiomyosarcoma	1
Malignant neurofibroma	1
Well-differentiated Fibrosarcoma	1

Histologic Type	Score
Myxoid liposarcoma	2
Conventional leiomyosarcoma	2
Conventional MPNST	2
Conventional fibrosarcoma	2
Myxofibrosarcoma	2
Myxoid chondrosarcoma	2
Conventional angiosarcoma	2
High-grade myxoid (round cell) liposarcoma	3
Pleomorphic liposarcoma	3
Dedifferentiated liposarcoma	3
Rhabdomyosarcoma	3
Poorly differentiated/pleomorphic leiomyosarcoma	3
Poorly differentiated/epithelioid angiosarcoma	3
Poorly differentiated MPNST	3
Malignant Triton tumor	3
Synovial sarcoma	3
Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma	3
Extraskeletal osteosarcoma	3
Extraskeletal Ewing sarcoma	3
Malignant rhabdoid tumor	3
Clear cell sarcoma	3
Epithelioid sarcoma	3
Alveolar soft part sarcoma	3
Undifferentiated (spindle cell and pleomorphic) sarcoma	3

Note: Grading of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, embryonal and alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma, angiosarcoma, extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma, alveolar soft part sarcoma, clear cell sarcoma, and epithelioid sarcoma is not recommended.⁴

Modified with permission from Coindre JM.⁷

<u>Mitosis Count</u>: The count is made in the most mitotically active area in 10 successive high-power fields (HPF) (1 HPF X 400 = 0.1734 mm²) (use the X40 objective).

Score 1: 0 to 9 mitoses per 10 HPF

- Score 2: 10 to 19 mitoses per 10 HPF
- Score 3: >19 mitoses per 10 HPF

Tumor Necrosis: Determined on histologic sections.

- Score 0: No tumor necrosis
- Score 1: < 50% tumor necrosis
- Score 2: ≥50% tumor necrosis

TNM Grading

The seventh edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and International Union Against Cancer (UICC) staging system for soft tissue tumors recommends the FNCLCC 3-grade system but effectively collapses into high grade and low grade.^{10,11} This means that FNCLCC grade 2 tumors are considered "high grade" for the purposes of stage grouping.

E. Margins

It has been recommended that for all margins <2 cm, the distance of the tumor from the margin be reported in centimeters.¹² However, there is a lack of agreement on this issue. We recommend specifying the location of all margins <2 cm and the distance of the closest margin that is <2 cm. Margins from soft tissue tumors should be taken as *perpendicular* sections, if possible. If bones are present in the specimen and are not involved by tumor, or the tumor is >2 cm from the margin, the marrow can be scooped out and submitted as a margin.

F. Lymph-Vascular Invasion

Lymph-vascular invasion (LVI) indicates whether microscopic lymph-vascular invasion is identified. LVI includes lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, or lymph-vascular invasion. By AJCC/UICC convention, LVI does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

G. Response to Chemotherapy/Radiation Therapy Effect

Although agreement has not been reached about measuring the effect of preoperative (neoadjuvant) chemotherapy/radiation therapy in soft tissue tumors, an attempt should be made to quantify these effects, especially in the research setting. Therapy response is expressed as a percentage of total tumor area that is viable. Nonliquefied tumor tissue from a cross-section through the longest axis of the tumor should be sampled. At least 1 section of necrotic tumor (always with a transition to viable tumor) should be sampled to verify the gross impression of necrosis. Nonsampled necrotic areas should be included in the estimate of necrosis and the percentage of tumor necrosis reported. The gross appearance can be misleading, and areas that appear grossly necrotic may actually be myxoid or edematous. Additional sections from these areas should be submitted for histologic examination. When estimates of gross necrosis exceed those of histologic necrosis, the greater percentage of necrosis should be recorded on the surgical pathology report.

H. Definition of Procedures

The following is a list of guidelines to be used in defining what type of procedure has been performed.

Intralesional Resection

Leaving gross or microscopic tumor behind. Partial debulking or curettage are examples or when microscopic tumor is left at the margin unintententionally in an attempted marginal resection.

Marginal Resection

Removing the tumor and its pseudocapsule with a relatively small amount of adjacent tissue. There is no gross tumor at the margin; however, there is a high likelihood that microscopic tumor is present. If microscopic disease is identified at the margin, then it is an intralesional resection. Note that occasionally a surgeon will perform an "excisional" biopsy, which effectively accomplishes the same thing as a marginal resection.

Wide Resection

An intracompartmental resection. The tumor is removed with pseudocapsule and a cuff of normal tissue surrounding the neoplasm, but without the complete removal of an entire muscle group, compartment, or bone.

Radical Resection

The removal of an entire soft tissue compartment (for example, anterior compartment of the thigh, the quadriceps) or bone, or the excision of the adjacent muscle groups if the tumor is extracompartmental.

I. Histological Classification of Treated Lesions

Because of extensive treatment effects, such as necrosis, fibrosis, and chemotherapy-induced and radiation-induced pleomorphism, it may not be possible to classify some lesions that were either never biopsied or where the biopsy was insufficient for a precise diagnosis.

J. TNM and Stage Groupings

The TNM staging system for soft tissue tumors of the AJCC and UICC is recommended.^{10,11} The staging system applies to all soft tissue sarcomas except Kaposi sarcoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, fibromatosis (desmoid tumor), and infantile fibrosarcoma. In addition, sarcomas arising within the confines of the dura mater, including the brain, and sarcomas arising in parenchymatous organs and from hollow viscera are not optimally staged by this system.

Pathologic (pTNM) staging consists of the removal and pathologic evaluation of the primary tumor and clinical/radiologic evaluation for regional and distant metastases. In circumstances where it is not possible to obtain accurate measurements of the excised primary sarcoma specimen, it is acceptable to use radiologic assessment of tumor size to assign a pT category. In examining the primary tumor, the pathologist should subclassify the lesion and assign a histopathologic grade.

Definition of pT

Although size currently is designated within the TNM system as 5 cm or smaller versus larger than 5 cm, particular emphasis should be placed on providing size measurements. Size should be regarded as a continuous variable, with 5 cm as merely an arbitrary division that makes it possible to dichotomize patient populations.

Depth

Depth is evaluated relative to the investing fascia of the extremity and trunk. Superficial is defined as lack of any involvement of the superficial investing muscular fascia in extremity or trunk lesions. For staging, all retroperitoneal and visceral lesions are considered to be deep lesions.

Depth is also an independent variable and is defined as follows.

- 1. Superficial
 - a. Tumor is located entirely in the subcutaneous tissues without any involvement of the muscular fascia. In these cases, pretreatment imaging studies demonstrate a subcutaneous tumor without involvement of muscle, and excisional biopsy pathology specimen demonstrate a tumor located within the subcutaneous tissues without invasion into fascia (adopted from the seventh edition of the *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*).
- 2. Deep
 - a. Tumor is located partly or completely within 1 or more muscle groups within the extremity. Deep tumors may extend through the muscular fascia into the subcutaneous tissues or even to the skin, but the critical criterion is location of any portion of the tumor within the muscular compartments of the extremity or invasion of the muscular fascia. In these cases, pretreatment imaging studies demonstrate a tumor located completely or partly within the muscular compartments of the extremity. Finally, on pathologic evaluation, any tumor that is superficial to the muscular fasica, but invades the fascia, is considered deep (adopted from the seventh edition of the *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*).

- b. All intraperitoneal visceral lesions, retroperitoneal lesions, intrathoracic lesions, and the majority of head and neck tumors are considered deep.
- 3. Depth is evaluated in relation to tumor size (T)
 - a. Tumor 5 cm or less: T1a = superficial; T1b = deep.
 - b. Tumor greater than 5 cm: T2a = superficial; T2b = deep.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)

Nodal involvement is rare in adult soft tissue sarcomas but, when present, has a very poor prognosis. N1 disease is classified as stage III. Patients whose nodal status is not determined to be positive for tumor, either clinically or pathologically, should be designated as N0.

Restaging of Recurrent Tumors

The same staging should be used when a patient requires restaging of sarcoma recurrence. Such reports should specify whether patients have primary lesions or lesions that were previously treated and have subsequently recurred. Reporting of possible etiologic factors, such as radiation exposure and inherited or genetic syndromes, is encouraged. Appropriate workup for recurrent sarcoma usually includes cross-sectional imaging (computed tomography [CT] scan or magnetic resonance imaging [MRI] scan) of the tumor, a CT scan of the chest, and a tissue biopsy to confirm diagnosis prior to initiation of therapy.

TNM Descriptors

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "m" suffix and the "y" and "r" prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

<u>The "m" suffix</u> indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

<u>The "y" prefix</u> indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a "y" prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

<u>The "r" prefix</u> indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the "r" prefix: rTNM.

T Category Considerations

Superficial tumor is located exclusively above the superficial fascia without invasion of the fascia; deep tumor is located either exclusively beneath the superficial fascia or superficial to the fascia with invasion of or through the fascia. Retroperitoneal, mediastinal, and pelvic sarcomas are classified as deep tumors.

N Category Considerations

Presence of positive nodes (N1) is considered stage III.

M Category Considerations

pMX and pM0 (no distant metastasis) are no longer case summary options as the use of pMX provides no meaningful information to the clinician or cancer registrar and at times may create confusion in tumor staging.

Stage Groupings

Stage IA	Tla	N0	NX	MO	G1	Low
	Tlb	N0	NX	MO	G1	Low
Stage IB	T2a	N0	NX	MO	G1	Low
	T2b	N0	NX	MO	G1	Low
Stage IIA	Tla	N0	NX	MO	G2	High
	Tlb	N0	NX	MO	G2	High
Stage IIB	T2a	N0	NX	MO	G2	High
Stage III	T2b	N0-1	NX	MO	G3	High
Stage IV	Any T	Any N		M1	Any G	High or Low

Additional Descriptors

Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (eg, surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

- RX Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
- R0 No residual tumor
- R1 Microscopic residual tumor
- R2 Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

K. Lymph Nodes

With the exception of epithelioid sarcoma and clear cell sarcoma of soft parts, regional lymph node metastasis is uncommon in adult soft tissue sarcomas. Nodes are not sampled routinely, and it usually is not necessary to exhaustively search for nodes. When present, regional lymph node metastasis has prognostic importance and should be reported. The seventh edition of the *AJCC Cancer Manual* recommends that N1 M0 disease to be regarded as stage III rather than stage IV disease.

References

- 1. Qualman SJ, Bowen J, Parham DM, Branton PA, Meyer WH. Protocol for the examination of specimens from patients (children and young adults) with rhabdomyosarcoma. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2003;127(10):1290-1297.
- 2. Ladanyi M, Bridge JA. Contribution of molecular genetic data to the classification of sarcomas. *Hum Pathol.* 2000;31(5):532-538.
- 3. Tomescu O, Barr FG. Chromosomal translocations in sarcomas: prospects for therapy. *Trends Mol Med*. 2001;7(12):554-559.
- 4. Fletcher CDM, Bridge JA, Hogendoorn PCW, Mertens F, eds. *WHO Classification of Soft Tissue and Bone Tumors*. 4th ed. Geneva, Switzerland; WHO Press: 2013.
- 5. Eilber FC, Brennan MF, Eilber FR, et al. Validation of postoperative normograms for 12-year sarcoma-specific mortality. *Cancer*. 2004;101:2270-2275.
- 6. Oliveira AM, Nascimento AG. Grading in soft tissue tumors: principles and problems. *Skeletal Radiol.* 2001;30(10):543-559.
- 7. Coindre JM. Grading of soft tissue sarcomas: review and update. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2006;130:1448-1453.

Background Documentation

- 8. Costa J, Wesley RA, Glatstein E, Rosenberg SA. The grading of soft tissue sarcomas: results of a clinicohistopathologic correlation in a series of 163 cases. *Cancer*. 1984;53(3):530-541.
- 9. Guillou L, Coindre JM, Bonichon F, et al. Comparative study of the National Cancer Institute and French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group grading systems in a population of 410 adult patients with soft tissue sarcoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15(1):350-362.
- 10. Edge SE, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, eds. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual.* 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2009.
- 11. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind Ch, eds. UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours. 7th ed. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss; 2009.
- 12. Recommendations for the reporting of soft tissue sarcomas. Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology. *Mod Pathol.* 1998;11(12):1257-1261.