Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Carcinomas of the Lip and Oral Cavity

Protocol applies to all invasive carcinomas of the oral cavity, including lip and tongue. Mucosal melanoma is included. Lymphomas and sarcomas are not included.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition
Protocol web posting date: October 2013

Procedures
• Biopsy
• Resection
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CAP Lip and Oral Cavity Protocol Revision History

Version Code
The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: LipOralCavity 3.2.0.0

Summary of Changes
The following changes have been made since the June 2012 release.

Entire Document
“Mucosal malignant melanoma” was changed to “Mucosal melanoma.”

Excisional Biopsy, Resection

Procedure
“Incisional biopsy” was deleted.

Specimen Laterality
“Bilateral” was deleted and “(select all that apply)” was added, as follows:

Specimen Laterality (select all that apply)
___ Right
___ Left
___ Midline
___ Not specified

Histologic Type

Neuroendocrine Carcinoma
“Large cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)” was added.

Carcinomas of Minor Salivary Glands
Low, intermediate, and high grade were added to adenoid cystic carcinoma as follows:
___ Adenoid cystic carcinoma
    ___ Low grade
    ___ Intermediate grade
    ___ High grade

Margins
Reporting on margins was updated, as follows:
___ Cannot be assessed
___ Margins uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
    Distance from closest margin:
    Specify distance: ____ mm
    ___ Cannot be determined
    Specify location of closest margin, per orientation, if possible: _______________
    + Location and distance of other close margins (Note D): ________________
___ Margins involved by invasive carcinoma
    Specify margin(s), per orientation, if possible: ______________
___ Margins uninvolved by carcinoma in situ (includes moderate and severe dysplasia*) (Note D)
    Distance from closest margin:
    Specify distance: ____ mm
    ___ Cannot be determined
    Specify location of closest margin, per orientation, if possible: ______________
Margins involved by carcinoma in situ (includes moderate and severe dysplasia*) (Note D)

Specify margin(s), per orientation, if possible: ____________________

* Applicable only to squamous cell carcinoma and histologic variants.

Pathologic Staging (pTNM)

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
Number of Lymph Nodes Involved
Size was changed from "largest positive lymph node" to largest metastatic focus in the lymph node."

Extracapsular extension was added, as follows:

Extracapsular Extension

___ Not identified
___ Present
+ Distance from lymph node capsule: _____ mm
___ Indeterminate

Distant Metastasis (pM)
Deleted "Source of pathologic metastatic specimen (specify)."

Explanatory Notes

B. Histologic Type
Neuroendocrine carcinoma: added "Large cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)."

D. Histologic Grade
E. Surgical Margins
F. Orientation of Specimen
G. Perineural Invasion
O. Ancillary Testing
Edits were made to these notes.

K. Regional Lymph Nodes (pN0): Isolated Tumor Cells
Classification scheme for ITCs was deleted.

L. Lymph Nodes
Measurement of Tumor Metastasis
Deleted: There is conflicting data in the literature on the significance of the size of the largest metastatic lymph node on the risk of regional recurrence and a predictor of poor overall survival. While the diameter of the largest positive lymph node may potentially serve as a predictor of outcome, it may not represent an independent predictor of outcome when other pathologic factors are considered.

References
References were updated.
Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: October 2013

LIP AND ORAL CAVITY: Excisional Biopsy, Resection

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen (select all that apply) (Note A)
___ Vermilion border upper lip
___ Vermilion border lower lip
___ Mucosa of upper lip
___ Mucosa of lower lip
___ Commissure of lip
___ Lateral border of tongue
___ Ventral surface of tongue, not otherwise specified (NOS)
___ Dorsal surface of tongue, NOS
___ Anterior two-thirds of tongue, NOS
___ Upper gingiva (gum)
___ Lower gingiva (gum)
___ Anterior floor of mouth
___ Floor of mouth, NOS
___ Hard palate
___ Buccal mucosa (inner cheek)
___ Vestibule of mouth
   ___ Upper
   ___ Lower
___ Alveolar process
   ___ Upper
   ___ Lower
___ Mandible
___ Maxilla
___ Other (specify): ____________________________
___ Not specified

Received:
___ Fresh
___ In formalin
___ Other (specify): ____________________________

Procedure (select all that apply)
___ Excisional biopsy
___ Resection
   ___ Glossectomy (specify): ____________________________
   ___ Mandibulectomy (specify): ____________________________
   ___ Maxillectomy (specify): ____________________________
   ___ Palatectomy
___ Neck (lymph node) dissection (specify): ____________________________
___ Other (specify): ____________________________
___ Not specified

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.


+ Specimen Integrity
+ ___Intact
+ ___Fragmented

Specimen Size
Greatest dimensions: ____ x ____ x ____ cm
+ Additional dimensions (if more than 1 part): ____ x ____ x ____ cm

Specimen Laterality (select all that apply)
___ Right
___ Left
___ Midline
___ Not specified

Tumor Site (select all that apply) (Note A)
___ Vermilion border upper lip
___ Vermilion border lower lip
___ Mucosa of upper lip
___ Mucosa of lower lip
___ Commissure of lip
___ Lateral border of tongue
___ Ventral surface of tongue, NOS
___ Dorsal surface of tongue, NOS
___ Anterior two-thirds of tongue, NOS
___ Upper gingiva (gum)
___ Lower gingiva (gum)
___ Anterior floor of mouth
___ Floor of mouth, NOS
___ Hard palate
___ Buccal mucosa (inner cheek)
___ Vestibule of mouth
   ___ Upper
   ___ Lower
___ Alveolar process
   ___ Upper
   ___ Lower
___ Mandible
___ Maxilla
___ Other (specify): _______________________
___ Not specified

Tumor Focality
___ Single focus
___ Multifocal (specify): _______________________

Tumor Size
Greatest dimension: ____ cm
+ Additional dimensions: ____ x ____ cm
___ Cannot be determined (see Comment)

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
**Tumor Thickness (pT1 and pT2 tumors) (Note B)**
+ Tumor thickness: ___ mm
+ Intact surface mucosa: ___; or ulcerated surface: ___

**Tumor Description (select all that apply)**
+ Gross subtype:
  + ___ Polypoid
  + ___ Exophytic
  + ___ Endophytic
  + ___ Ulcerated
  + ___ Sessile
  + ___ Other (specify): ______________________

**Macroscopic Extent of Tumor**
+ Specify: ______________________

**Histologic Type (select all that apply) (Note C)**

**Squamous Cell Carcinoma**
___ Squamous cell carcinoma, conventional

**Variants of Squamous Cell Carcinoma**
___ Acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma
___ Adenosquamous carcinoma
___ Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma
___ Papillary squamous cell carcinoma
___ Spindle cell squamous cell carcinoma
___ Verrucous carcinoma
___ Giant cell carcinoma
___ Lymphoepithelial carcinoma (non-nasopharyngeal)

**Carcinomas of Minor Salivary Glands**
___ Acinic cell carcinoma
___ Adenoid cystic carcinoma
    ___ Low grade
    ___ Intermediate grade
    ___ High grade
___ Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)
    ___ Low grade
    ___ Intermediate grade
    ___ High grade
___ Basal cell adenocarcinoma
___ Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma (malignant mixed tumor)
    ___ Low-grade
    ___ High-grade
    ___ Invasive
        ___ Minimally invasive (Note C)
        ___ Invasive (Note C)
        ___ Intracapsular (noninvasive)
___ Carcinoma, type cannot be determined
___ Carcinosarcoma

---

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Clear cell adenocarcinoma
Cystadenocarcinoma
Epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
  Low grade
  Intermediate grade
  High grade
Mucinous adenocarcinoma (colloid carcinoma)
Myoepithelial carcinoma (malignant myoepithelioma)
Onecytic carcinoma
Polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma
Salivary duct carcinoma
Other (specify): __________________________

Adenocarcinoma, Non-Salivary Gland Type
Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)
  Low grade
  Intermediate grade
  High grade
Other (specify): __________________________

Neuroendocrine Carcinoma
Typical carcinoid tumor (well differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Atypical carcinoid tumor (moderately differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Large cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Small cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Combined (or composite) small cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type with (specify type):

Mucosal melanoma
Other (specify): __________________________
Carcinoma, type cannot be determined

Histologic Grade (Note D)
Not applicable
GX: Cannot be assessed
G1: Well differentiated
G2: Moderately differentiated
G3: Poorly differentiated
Other (specify): __________________________

Microscopic Tumor Extension
Specify: __________________________

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Margins (select all that apply) (Notes E and F)
___ Cannot be assessed
___ Margins uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
   Distance from closest margin:
   Specify distance: ____ mm
   ___ Cannot be determined
   Specify location of closest margin, per orientation, if possible: _______________________
   + Location and distance of other close margins (Note D): ____________________________
___ Margins involved by invasive carcinoma
   Specify margin(s), per orientation, if possible: ________________________________
___ Margins uninvolved by carcinoma in situ (includes moderate and severe dysplasia*) (Note E)
   Distance from closest margin:
   Specify distance: ____ mm
   ___ Cannot be determined
   Specify location of closest margin, per orientation, if possible: ______________________
___ Margins involved by carcinoma in situ (includes moderate and severe dysplasia*) (Note E)
   Specify margin(s), per orientation, if possible: ________________________________

* Applicable only to squamous cell carcinoma and histologic variants.

+ Treatment Effect (applicable to carcinomas treated with neoadjuvant therapy)
+ ___ Not identified
+ ___ Present (specify): _____________________________
+ ___ Indeterminate

Lymph-Vascular Invasion
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Indeterminate

Perineural Invasion (Note G)
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Indeterminate

Lymph Nodes, Extranodal Extension (Note H)
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Indeterminate

Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (Note I)

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
___ m (multiple primary tumors)
___ r (recurrent)
___ y (posttreatment)

For All Carcinomas Excluding Mucosal Melanoma

Primary Tumor (pT)
___ pTX: Cannot be assessed
___ pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
___ pTis: Carcinoma in situ

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
__ pT1:  Tumor 2 cm or less in greatest dimension
__ pT2:  Tumor more than 2 cm but not more than 4 cm in greatest dimension
__ pT3:  Tumor more than 4 cm in greatest dimension
__ pT4a:  Moderately advanced local disease.
      Lip: Tumor invades through cortical bone, inferior alveolar nerve, floor of mouth, or skin of
      face, ie, chin or nose
      Oral cavity: Tumor invades adjacent structures only (eg, through cortical bone [mandible,
      maxilla], into deep [extrinsic] muscle of tongue [genioglossus, hyoglossus, palatoglossus,
      and styloglossus], maxillary sinus, skin of face)
__ pT4b:  Very advanced local disease. Tumor invades masticator space, pterygoid plates, or skull
      base, and/or encases internal carotid artery

Note: Superficial erosion alone of bone/tooth socket by gingival primary is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)  (Notes J through M)
__ pNX:  Cannot be assessed
__ pN0:  No regional lymph node metastasis
__ pN1:  Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, 3 cm or less in greatest dimension
__ pN2a:  Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, more than 3 cm but not more than 6 cm in
greatest dimension
__ pN2b:  Metastasis in multiple ipsilateral lymph nodes, none more than 6 cm in greatest dimension
__ pN2c:  Metastasis in bilateral or contralateral lymph nodes, none more than 6 cm in greatest
dimension
__ pN3:  Metastasis in a lymph node more than 6 cm in greatest dimension
__ No nodes submitted or found

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined
Specify: ____
__ Number cannot be determined (explain): __________________________

Number of Lymph Nodes Involved
Specify: ____
+ Size (greatest dimension) of the largest metastatic focus in the lymph node: ____ cm (Note L)
__ Number cannot be determined (explain): __________________________

Extracapsular Extension (Note G)
__ Not identified
__ Present
   + Distance from lymph node capsule: ____ mm
__ Indeterminate

* Superior mediastinal lymph nodes are considered regional lymph nodes (level VII). Midline nodes are considered
ipsilateral nodes.

Distant Metastasis (pM)
__ Not applicable
__ pM1: Distant metastasis
   + Specify site(s), if known: __________________________
For Mucosal Melanoma (Note I)

Primary Tumor (pT)
___ pT3: Mucosal disease
___ pT4a: Moderately advanced disease. Tumor involving deep soft tissue, cartilage, bone, or overlying skin
___ pT4b: Very advanced disease. Tumor involving brain, dura, skull base, lower cranial nerves (IX, X, XI, XII), masticator space, carotid artery, prevertebral space, or mediastinal structures

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
___ pNX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastases
___ pN1: Regional lymph node metastases present

Distant Metastasis (pM)
___ Not applicable
___ pM1: Distant metastasis present
   + Specify site(s), if known: ____________________________

+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply)
+ ___ None identified
+ ___ Keratinizing dysplasia (Note N)
   + ___ Mild
   + ___ Moderate
   + ___ Severe (carcinoma in situ)
+ ___ Nonkeratinizing dysplasia (Note N)
   + ___ Mild
   + ___ Moderate
   + ___ Severe (carcinoma in situ)
+ ___ Inflammation (specify type): ____________________________
+ ___ Epithelial hyperplasia
+ ___ Colonization
   + ___ Fungal
   + ___ Bacterial
+ ___ Other (specify): ____________________________

+ Ancillary Studies (Note O)
+ Specify type(s): ____________________________
+ Specify result(s): ____________________________

+ Clinical History (select all that apply)
+ ___ Neoadjuvant therapy
   + ___ Yes (specify type): ____________________________
   + ___ No
   + ___ Indeterminate
+ ___ Other (specify): ____________________________

+ Comment(s)

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Explanatory Notes

Scope of Guidelines
The reporting of oral cancer including the lip is facilitated by the provision of a case summary illustrating the features required for comprehensive patient care. However, there are many cases in which the individual practicalities of applying such a case summary may not be straightforward. Common examples include finding the prescribed number of lymph nodes, trying to determine the levels of the radical neck dissection, and determining if isolated tumor cells in a lymph node represent metastatic disease. Case summaries have evolved to include clinical, radiographic, morphologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular results in an effort to guide clinical management. Adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy can significantly alter histologic findings, making accurate classification an increasingly complex and demanding task. This protocol tries to remain simple while still incorporating important pathologic features as proposed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) cancer staging manual, the World Health Organization classification of tumors, the TNM classification, the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer, and the International Union on Cancer (UICC). This protocol is to be used as a guide and resource, an adjunct to diagnosing and managing cancers of the oral cavity in a standardized manner. It should not be used as a substitute for dissection or grossing techniques and does not give histologic parameters to reach the diagnosis. Subjectivity is always a factor, and elements listed are not meant to be arbitrary but are meant to provide uniformity of reporting across all the disciplines that use the information. It is a foundation of practical information that will help to meet the requirements of daily practice to benefit both clinicians and patients alike.

A. Anatomic Sites and Subsites for Lip and Oral Cavity (Figure 1)

Lip
- External upper lip (vermilion border)
- External lower lip (vermilion border)
- Commissures

Oral Cavity
- Buccal mucosa
  - Mucosa of upper and lower lips
  - Cheek mucosa
  - Retromolar areas
  - Bucco-alveolar sulci, upper and lower (vestibule of mouth)
- Upper alveolus and gingiva (upper gum)
- Lower alveolus and gingiva (lower gum)
- Hard palate
- Tongue
  - Dorsal surface and lateral borders anterior to circumvallate papillae (anterior two-thirds)
  - Inferior (ventral) surface
- Floor of mouth

The protocol applies to all carcinomas arising at these sites.¹

Mucosal Lip. The lip begins at the junction of the vermilion border with the skin and includes only the vermilion surface or that portion of the lip that comes in contact with the opposing lip. It is well defined into an upper and lower lip joined at the commissures of the mouth.

Buccal Mucosa (Inner Cheek). This includes all the membrane lining of the inner surface of the cheeks and lips from the line of contact of the opposing lips to the line of attachment of mucosa of the alveolar ridge (upper and lower) and pterygomandibular raphe.
**Lower Alveolar Ridge.** This refers to the mucosa overlying the alveolar process of the mandible, which extends from the line of attachment of mucosa in the buccal gutter to the line of free mucosa of the floor of the mouth. Posteriorly it extends to the ascending ramus of the mandible.

**Upper Alveolar Ridge.** This refers to the mucosa overlying the alveolar process of the maxilla, which extends from the line of attachment of mucosa in the upper gingival buccal gutter to the junction of the hard palate. Its posterior margin is the upper end of the pterygopalatine arch.

**Retromolar Gingiva (Retromolar Trigone).** This is the attached mucosa overlying the ascending ramus of the mandible from the level of the posterior surface of the last molar tooth and the apex superiorly, adjacent to the tuberosity of the maxilla.

**Floor of the Mouth.** This is a semilunar space over the myelohyoid and hypoglossus muscles, extending from the inner surface of the lower alveolar ridge to the undersurface of the tongue. Its posterior boundary is the base of the anterior pillar of the tonsil. It is divided into two sides of the submaxillary and sublingual salivary glands.

**Hard Palate.** This is the semilunar area between the upper alveolar ridge and the mucous membrane covering the palatine process of the maxillary palatine bones. It extends from the inner surface of the superior alveolar ridge to the posterior edge of the palatine bone.

**Anterior Two-Thirds of the Tongue (Oral Tongue).** This is the freely mobile portion of the tongue that extends anteriorly from the line of circumvallate papillae to the undersurface of the tongue at the junction of the floor of the mouth. It is composed of four areas: the tip, the lateral borders, the dorsum, and the undersurface (nonvillous ventral surface of the tongue). The undersurface of the tongue is considered a separate category by the World Health Organization (WHO).
**B. Tumor Thickness/Depth of Invasion**

For small (T1, T2) oral squamous cell carcinomas, the microscopic measurement of tumor thickness is considered a valuable parameter for predicting regional nodal involvement and survival in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma. Measurement of tumor thickness has been controversial in the literature and there is no standard method for measuring. Submission of 3- to 4-mm consecutive sections through the lesion will facilitate locating the deepest point of invasion and maximum tumor dimension. Tumor thickness is usually measured from the mucosal surface of the tumor to the deepest point of tissue invasion in a perpendicular fashion with an optical micrometer. The dimension should be recorded in millimeters. In heavily keratinized lesions, measurement occurs from the surface of the tumor exclusive of the keratin layer; alternatively, measurement might more appropriately occur from the epithelial basement membrane. If the lesion is ulcerated, then measurement should be from the surface of the ulcer, to the deepest point of invasion (Figure 2). Gross examination of consecutive sections through the lesion and measuring tumor thickness from a histologic section with the least amount of tangential artifact should aid in accurately measuring tumor thickness.

---

**Figure 1.** Diagrams illustrating the oral cavity anatomic subsites. Figure courtesy of Beth Israel Medical Center, St. Luke's and Roosevelt Hospitals, New York.
Figure 2. Tumor thickness can be measured from an exophytic or heavily keratinized surface (A), ulcerated surface (B) or endophytic surface (C). Measurement occurs from the surface of the tumor exclusive of the keratin layer to the deepest point of invasion. From AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 6th ed. New York: Springer; 2002. © American Joint Committee on Cancer. Reproduced with permission.
C. Histological Type
A modification of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of carcinomas of the oral cavity including the lip is shown below. This list may not be complete. This protocol applies only to carcinomas and melanomas but does not apply to lymphomas or sarcomas.

Carcinomas of the Oral Cavity
Squamous cell carcinoma, conventional
Squamous cell carcinoma, variant
  Acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma
  Adenosquamous carcinoma
  Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma
  Carcinoma cuniculatum
  Papillary squamous cell carcinoma
  Spindle cell squamous carcinoma
  Verrucous carcinoma
Lymphoepithelial carcinoma (non-nasopharyngeal)

Carcinomas of Minor Salivary Glands
Acinic cell carcinoma
Adenoid cystic carcinoma
Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)
Basal cell adenocarcinoma
Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma (malignant mixed tumor)
Carcinoma, type cannot be determined
Carcinosarcoma
Clear cell carcinoma, NOS
Cystadenocarcinoma
Epithelial-myxoepithelial carcinoma
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma,
Mucinous adenocarcinoma (colloid carcinoma)
Myoepithelial carcinoma (malignant myoepithelioma)
Oncocytic carcinoma
Polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma
Salivary duct carcinoma

Adenocarcinoma, Non-salivary Gland Type
Papillary adenocarcinoma
Intestinal-type adenocarcinoma
Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)
  Low grade
  Intermediate grade
  High grade

Neuroendocrine Carcinoma#
Typical carcinoid tumor (well differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Atypical carcinoid tumor (moderately differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Large cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Small cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma)
Combined (or composite) small cell carcinoma, neuroendocrine type**

Mucosal Melanoma
Not included in WHO Classification.

## Represents a carcinoma showing combined features of small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma associated with a squamous or adenocarcinomatous component.7

### Histologic Grade

For histologic types of carcinomas that are amenable to grading, 3 histologic grades are suggested, as shown below. For conventional squamous cell carcinoma, histologic grading as a whole does not perform well as a prognosticator.7 Nonetheless, it should be recorded when applicable, as it is a basic tumor characteristic. Selecting either the most prevalent grade or the highest grade for this synoptic protocol is acceptable. Variants of squamous cell carcinoma (ie, verrucous, basaloid, etc) have an intrinsic biologic potential and currently do not appear to require grading.

- **Grade 1**: Well differentiated
- **Grade 2**: Moderately differentiated
- **Grade 3**: Poorly differentiated
- **Grade X**: Cannot be assessed

The histologic (microscopic) grading of salivary gland carcinomas has been shown to be an independent predictor of behavior and plays a role in optimizing therapy.8-13 Further, there is often a positive correlation between histologic grade and clinical stage. Most salivary carcinomas have a biologic behavior defined by their categorization and do not require grading.13 The 3 major categories that are amenable to grading include adenoid cystic carcinoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma (the 2 most frequent histologic types seen in larynx), and adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified.8,10,14

Generally, 3 histologic grades are suggested, as follows:

- **Grade 1**: Well differentiated = Low-grade
- **Grade 2**: Moderately differentiated = Intermediate-grade
- **Grade 3**: Poorly differentiated = High-grade
- **Grade X**: Cannot be assessed

In some carcinomas, histologic grading may be based on growth pattern, such as in adenoid cystic carcinoma, for which a histologic high-grade variant has been recognized based on the percentage of solid growth.10 Those adenoid cystic carcinomas showing 30% or greater of solid growth pattern are considered to be histologically high-grade carcinomas. The histologic grading of mucoepidermoid carcinoma includes a combination of growth pattern characteristics (eg, cystic, solid, neurotropism) and cytomorphologic findings (eg, anaplasia, mitoses, necrosis).15-17 Adenocarcinomas, not otherwise specified, do not have a formalized grading scheme and are graded intuitively, based on cytomorphologic features.13

Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma is subclassified by histologic grade (low grade and high grade) and extent of invasion, the latter including minimally invasive, invasive and noninvasive cancers. Minimally invasive cancers measure less than or equal to 1.5 mm with penetration of the malignant component into extracapsular tissue; invasive carcinomas measure more than 1.5 mm of invasion; noninvasive cancers are completely confined to within the capsule without evidence of penetration into extracapsular tissue. Prior to diagnosing a noninvasive carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, sectioning of the entire lesion for histologic evaluation is recommended in order to exclude the presence of invasive growth. Prognosis has been linked to degree of invasion with noninvasive and minimally invasive cancers apparently having a better prognosis than invasive cancers.13,18
E. Surgical Margins
The definition of a positive margin is somewhat controversial given the varied results from prior studies.\(^{19,20}\) However, overall, several studies support the definition of a positive margin to be invasive carcinoma or carcinoma in situ/high-grade dysplasia present at margins (microscopic cut-through of tumor).\(^{19}\) Furthermore, reporting of surgical margins should also include information regarding the distance of invasive carcinoma, carcinoma in situ, or high-grade dysplasia (moderate to severe) from the surgical margin. Tumors with “close” margins also carry an increased risk for local recurrence.\(^{19,21}\)

The definition of a “close” margin is not standardized as the effective cut-off varies between studies and between anatomic subsites. Commonly used cut points to define close margins are 5 mm in general, and 2 mm with respect to glottis larynx.\(^{19}\) However, values ranging from 3 mm to 7 mm have been used with success,\(^{19,23}\) and for glottic tumors as low as 1 mm.\(^{24}\) Thus distance of tumor from the nearest margin should be recorded.

Reporting of surgical margins for carcinomas of the minor salivary glands should follow those used for squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity.

Keratinizing Dysplasia
The types of intraepithelial dysplasia of the upper aerodigestive tract (UADT) include nonkeratinizing (“classic”) dysplasia and keratinizing dysplasia. Of the two types of dysplasias, the keratinizing dysplasias are significantly more common than the nonkeratinizing dysplasias. For both types of UADT intraepithelial dysplasias, grading includes mild, moderate, and severe forms, with the latter category being synonymous with carcinoma in situ. It must be noted that in the setting of keratinizing dysplasia, full thickness dysplasia of the surface epithelium, representing the histologic definition for carcinoma in situ, is an uncommon occurrence. Nevertheless, there are keratinizing dysplasias that lack full thickness dysplasia and yet carry a significant risk to develop invasive carcinoma. Due to the fact that invasive carcinoma can develop from keratinizing dysplasia in which there is an absence of full thickness dysplasia, the grading of UADT dysplasias is problematic and lacks reproducibility among pathologists (see below under Note M). Since there is no significant statistical difference in the risk to invasive carcinoma between the category of keratinizing moderate dysplasia and keratinizing severe dysplasia,\(^{25}\) the suggestion has been entertained of adopting a Bethesda-like classification to keratinizing dysplasias of the UADT, including a low-grade category limited to keratinizing mild dysplasia and a high-grade category to include keratinizing moderate and severe dysplasias.\(^{26}\) As such, it must be recognized that keratinizing severe dysplasia, even if not “full thickness,” should for all intents and purposes be dealt with in a similar manner as classically defined carcinoma in situ so that in evaluating surgical margins for the presence or absence of dysplasia/carcinoma in situ, keratinizing moderate and severe dysplasias should be viewed as a single category relative to risk of progression to invasive carcinoma. Such a risk does not include keratinizing mild dysplasia. In summary, the presence of keratinizing mild dysplasia at (or near) a surgical margin should not be viewed/reported as a positive margin, whereas the presence of keratinizing moderate or severe dysplasia at (or near) a surgical margin should be viewed/reported as a positive margin.

F. Orientation of Specimen
Complex specimens should be examined and oriented with the assistance of the operating surgeon(s). Direct communication between the surgeon and pathologist is a critical component in specimen orientation and proper sectioning. Whenever possible, the tissue examination request form should include a drawing or photograph of the resected specimen showing the extent of the tumor and its relation to the anatomic structures of the region. The lines and extent of the resection can be depicted on preprinted adhesive labels and attached to the surgical pathology request forms.

G. Perineural Invasion
Traditionally, the presence of perineural invasion (neurotropism) is an important predictor of poor prognosis in head and neck cancer of virtually all sites.\(^{27}\) The presence of perineural invasion
(neurotropism) in the primary cancer is associated with poor local disease control and regional control, as well as being associated with metastasis to regional lymph nodes. Further, perineural invasion is associated with decrease in disease-specific survival and overall survival. There is conflicting data relative to an association between the presence of perineural invasion and the development of distant metastasis, with some studies showing an increased association with distant metastasis, while other studies showing no correlation with distant metastasis. The relationship between perineural invasion and prognosis is independent of nerve diameter. Additionally, emerging evidence suggests that extratumoral perineural invasion may be more prognostically relevant. Although perineural invasion of small unnamed nerves may not produce clinical symptoms, the reporting of perineural invasion includes nerves of all sizes including small peripheral nerves (ie, less than 1 mm in diameter). Aside from the impact on prognosis, the presence of perineural invasion also guides therapy. Concurrent adjuvant chemoradiation therapy has been shown to improve outcomes in patients with perineural invasion (as well as in patients with extranodal extension and bone invasion). Given the significance relative to prognosis and treatment, perineural invasion is a required data element in the reporting of head and neck cancers.

H. Extranodal Extension
The status of cervical lymph nodes is the single most important prognostic factor in aerodigestive cancer. All macroscopically negative or equivocal lymph nodes should be submitted in toto. Grossly positive nodes may be partially submitted for microscopic documentation of metastasis. Reporting of lymph nodes containing metastasis should include whether there is presence or absence of extranodal extension (EE). This finding consists of extension of metastatic tumor, present within the confines of the lymph node, through the lymph node capsule into the surrounding connective tissue, with or without associated stromal reaction. A distance of extension from the native lymph node capsule is optional and has not yet been shown to have a definitive impact on prognosis or treatment for head and neck subsites. If macroscopic examination suggests EE, this tissue should be submitted for microscopic confirmation. EE is a predictor of regional relapse and a criterion for postoperative radiotherapy.

I. TNM and Stage Groupings
The protocol recommends the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) for lip and oral cavity cancer. Of note in the 7th edition of the AJCC staging of head and neck cancers is the division of T4 lesions into T4a (moderately advanced local disease) and T4b (very advanced local disease), leading to the stratification of stage IV into stage IVA (moderately advanced local/regional disease), stage IVB (very advanced local/regional disease), and stage IVC (distant metastatic disease).

The 7th edition of the AJCC staging of head and neck cancers includes mucosal melanomas. Approximately two-thirds of mucosal melanomas arise in the sinonasal tract, one quarter are found in the oral cavity and the remainder occur only sporadically in other mucosal sites of the head and neck. Even small cancers behave aggressively with high rates of recurrence and death. To reflect this aggressive behavior, primary cancers limited to the mucosa are considered T3 lesions. Advanced mucosal melanomas are classified as T4a and T4b. The anatomic extent criteria to define moderately advanced (T4a) and very advanced (T4b) disease are given below. The AJCC staging for mucosal melanomas does not provide for the histologic definition of a T3 lesion; as the majority of mucosal melanomas are invasive at presentation, mucosal based melanomas (T3 lesions) include those lesions that involve either the epithelium and/or lamina propria of the involved site. Rare examples of in situ mucosal melanomas occur, but in situ mucosal melanomas are excluded from staging, as they are extremely rare.

Carcinomas of minor salivary glands of the upper aerodigestive tract site, including the oral cavity, are staged according to schemes corresponding to the anatomic site of occurrence. A proposed staging
system for rare salivary gland cancers that occur within gnathic bone (eg, mandible) is based on the status of the overlying bone\textsuperscript{37} including:

Stage I – intact overlying cortex with no evidence of bony expansion;
Stage II - intact overlying cortex with some degree of bony expansion;
Stage III – perforation of the cortex or metastatic spread.

For All Carcinomas Excluding Mucosal Melanoma

Primary Tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>No evidence of primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>Carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumor 2 cm or less in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Tumor more than 2 cm but not more than 4 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumor more than 4 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Moderately advanced local disease. Lip: Tumor invades through cortical bone, inferior alveolar nerve, floor of mouth, or skin of face, ie, chin or nose. Oral cavity: Tumor invades adjacent structures (eg, through cortical bone [mandible, maxilla], into deep [extrinsic] muscle of tongue [genioglossus, hyoglossus, palatoglossus, and styloglossus], maxillary sinus, skin of face)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Very advanced local disease. Tumor invades masticator space, pterygoid plates, or skull base, and/or encases internal carotid artery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional Lymph Nodes\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, 3 cm or less in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2a</td>
<td>Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, more than 3 cm but not more than 6 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2b</td>
<td>Metastasis in multiple ipsilateral lymph nodes, none more than 6 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2c</td>
<td>Metastasis in bilateral or contralateral lymph nodes, none more than 6 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Metastasis in a lymph node more than 6 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Superior mediastinal lymph nodes are considered regional lymph nodes (level VII). Midline nodes are considered ipsilateral nodes.

Distant Metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Mucosal Melanoma

Primary Tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Mucosal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Moderately advanced disease. Tumor involving deep soft tissue, cartilage, bone, or overlying skin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Very advanced disease. Tumor involving brain, dura, skull base, lower cranial nerves (IX, X, XI, XII), masticator space, carotid artery, prevertebral space, or mediastinal structures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Lymph Nodes
NX  Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
N0  No regional lymph node metastases
N1  Regional lymph node metastases present

Distant Metastasis
M0  No distant metastasis
M1  Distant metastasis

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

T Category Considerations
Superficial erosion alone of bone/tooth socket by primary gingival tumor is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4.

Stage Groupings – For All Cancers Except Mucosal Melanoma
Stage 0  Tis  N0  M0
Stage I  T1  N0  M0
Stage II  T2  N0  M0
Stage III  T1,T2  N1  M0
  T3  N0,N1  M0
Stage IVA  T1,T2,T3  N2  M0
  T4a  N0,N1,N2  M0
Stage IVB  Any T  N3  M0
  T4b  Any N  M0
Stage IVC  Any T  Any N  M1

Stage Groupings – For Mucosal Melanoma
Stage III  T3  N0  M0
Stage IVA  T4a  N0  M0
  T3-T4a  N1  M0
Stage IVB  T4b  Any N  M0
Stage IVC  Any T  Any N  M1

TNM Descriptors
For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y” and “r” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.
The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (i.e., neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The ctNM or ptNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (i.e., before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

**Additional Descriptors**

**Residual Tumor (R)**
Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (e.g., surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RX</td>
<td>Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>No residual tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Microscopic residual tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Macroscopic residual tumor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

**J. Classification of Neck Dissection**
1. Radical neck dissection
2. Modified radical neck dissection, internal jugular vein and/or sternocleidomastoid muscle spared
3. Selective neck dissection (SND), as specified by the surgeon (Figure 3), defined by dissection of less than the 5 traditional levels of a radical and modified radical neck dissection. The following dissections are now under this category:
   a. Supraomohyoid neck dissection
   b. Posterolateral neck dissection
   c. Lateral neck dissection
   d. Central compartment neck dissection
4. Superselective neck dissection (SSND), a relatively new term defined by dissection of the fibrofatty elements of 2 or less levels.
5. Extended radical neck dissection, as specified by the surgeon

**K. Regional Lymph Nodes (pN0): Isolated Tumor Cells**
Isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are single cells or small clusters of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension. While the generic recommendation is that for lymph nodes with ITCs found by either histologic examination, immunohistochemistry, or non-morphologic techniques (e.g., flow cytometry, DNA analysis, polymerase chain reaction [PCR] amplification of a specific tumor marker) they should be classified as N0 or M0, respectively, evidence for the validity of this practice in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and other histologic subtypes is lacking. In fact, rare studies relevant to head and neck sites indicate that isolated tumor cells may actually be a poor prognosticator in terms of local control.
For purposes of pathologic evaluation, lymph nodes are organized by levels as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The six sublevels of the neck for describing the location of lymph nodes within levels I, II, and V. Level IA, submental group; level IB, submandibular group; level IIA, upper jugular nodes along the carotid sheath, including the subdigastric group; level IIB, upper jugular nodes in the submuscular recess; level VA, spinal accessory nodes; and level VB, the supraclavicular and transverse cervical nodes. From: Flint PW, et al, eds. Cummings Otolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA; Saunders: 2010. Reproduced with permission © Elsevier.

In order for pathologists to properly identify these nodes, they must be familiar with the terminology of the regional lymph node groups and with the relationships of those groups to the regional anatomy. Which lymph node groups surgeons submit for histopathologic evaluation depends on the type of neck dissection they perform. Therefore, surgeons must supply information on the types of neck dissections that they perform and on the details of the local anatomy in the specimens they submit for examination or, in other manners, orient those specimens for pathologists.

If it is not possible to assess the levels of lymph nodes (for instance, when the anatomic landmarks in the excised specimens are not specified), then the lymph node levels may be estimated as follows: level II, upper third of internal jugular (IJ) vein or neck specimen; level III, middle third of IJ vein or neck specimen; level IV, lower third of IJ vein or neck specimen, all anterior to the sternocleidomastoid muscle.

**Level I. Submental Group (Sublevel IA)**
Lymph nodes within the triangular boundary of the anterior belly of the digastric muscles and the hyoid bone.

**Level I. Submandibular Group (Sublevel IB)**
Lymph nodes within the boundaries of the anterior and posterior bellies of the digastric muscle and the body of the mandible. The submandibular gland is included in the specimen when the lymph nodes within this triangle are removed.

**Level II. Upper Jugular Group (Sublevels IIA and IIB)**
Lymph nodes located around the upper third of the internal jugular vein and adjacent spinal accessory nerve extending from the level of the carotid bifurcation (surgical landmark) or hyoid bone (clinical
landmark) to the skull base. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the stylohyoid muscle.

**Level III. Middle Jugular Group**
Lymph nodes located around the middle third of the internal jugular vein extending from the carotid bifurcation superiorly to the omohyoid muscle (surgical landmark), or cricothyroid notch (clinical landmark) inferiorly. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the sternohyoid muscle.

**Level IV. Lower Jugular Group**
Lymph nodes located around the lower third of the internal jugular vein extending from the omohyoid muscle superiorly to the clavicle inferiorly. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the sternohyoid muscle.

**Level V. Posterior Triangle Group (Sublevels VA and VB)**
This group comprises predominantly the lymph nodes located along the lower half of the spinal accessory nerve and the transverse cervical artery. The supraclavicular nodes are also included in this group. The posterior boundary of the posterior triangle is the anterior border of the trapezius muscle, the anterior boundary of the posterior triangle is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the inferior boundary of the posterior triangle is the clavicle.

**Level VI. Anterior (Central) Compartment**
Lymph nodes in this compartment include the pre- and paratracheal nodes, precricoid (Delphian) node, and the parathyroidal nodes, including the lymph nodes along the recurrent laryngeal nerve. The superior boundary is the hyoid bone, the inferior boundary is the suprasternal notch, the lateral boundaries are the common carotid arteries, and the posterior boundary by the prevertebral fascia.

**Level VII. Superior Mediastinal Lymph Nodes**
Metastases at level VII are considered regional lymph node metastases; all other mediastinal lymph node metastases are considered distant metastases.

Lymph node groups removed from areas not included in the above levels, eg, scalene, suboccipital, and retropharyngeal, should be identified and reported from all levels separately. Midline nodes are considered ipsilateral nodes.

**L. Lymph Nodes**

**Lymph Node Number**
Histological examination of a selective neck dissection specimen will ordinarily include 6 or more lymph nodes. Histological examination of a radical or modified radical neck dissection specimen will ordinarily include 10 or more lymph nodes in the untreated neck.

**Measurement of Tumor Metastasis**
The cross-sectional diameter of the largest lymph node metastasis (not the lymph node itself) is measured in the gross specimen at the time of macroscopic examination or, if necessary, on the histologic slide at the time of microscopic examination. 27, 38

**M. Special Procedures for Lymph Nodes**
At the current time, no additional special techniques are required other than routine histology for the assessment of nodal metastases. Immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect isolated tumor cells are considered investigational techniques at this time.
N. Dysplasia of the Upper Aerodigestive Tract (UADT)

In contrast to the uterine cervix in which the nonkeratinizing (“classic”) form of epithelial dysplasia most commonly results in a reproducible and clinically useful grading scheme of mild, moderate, and severe dysplasia (ie, carcinoma in situ), the majority of the UADT mucosal lesions fall under the designation of keratinizing dysplasias. The criteria for evaluating keratinizing dysplasias are less well defined, and the diagnosis of severe keratinizing (intraepithelial) dysplasia remains controversial. In particular, the definition of severe dysplasia in the setting of keratosis is broader than the highly reproducible pattern seen in the uterine cervix and includes a microscopically heterogeneous group of lesions. In the setting of keratinizing dysplasia where surface maturation is retained with only partial replacement of the epithelium by atypical cells, severe dysplasia includes those lesions in which the epithelial alterations are so severe that there would be a high probability for the progression to an invasive carcinoma if left untreated. The evaluation of keratinizing dysplasia includes cellular abnormalities (ie, cytomorphology) and maturation abnormalities (ie, architectural alterations). At present, the preferred grading for keratinizing dysplasias of the UADT include mild, moderate, and severe dysplasia depending on the degree and extent of cellular and maturation alterations that are present. Using the definition of carcinoma in situ (CIS) as applied to the uterine cervix requires loss of maturation of squamous epithelium; therefore, by this definition most keratotic lesion would not be classified as CIS because keratinization would represent a type of maturation. Therefore, the use of the specific term CIS in keratinizing dysplasias of the UADT has been questioned and is likely inappropriate in this setting; a more appropriate designation is keratinizing severe dysplasia.

Several points should be stressed relative to keratinizing dysplasia of the UADT:
- Invasive carcinoma can develop from keratinizing dysplasia that is limited in extent and in the absence of full thickness dysplasia (ie, “classic” carcinoma in situ) progression can occur even in the setting of lesions with atypia limited to the lower third (basal zone region) of the surface epithelium.
- Keratinizing severe dysplasia is often multifocal and frequently occurs adjacent to or near synchronous foci of invasive carcinoma.
- Keratinizing severe dysplasia has a rate of progression to invasive carcinoma that is greater than that of “classic” carcinoma in situ.
- A diagnosis of severe dysplasia requires therapeutic intervention, as well as clinical evaluation of the entire upper aerodigestive tract to exclude the possible presence of additional foci of dysplasia or carcinoma that may exist from field effect.

The end point for the grading of dysplasia is to convey to the clinician what is the potential biologic behavior of a given epithelial lesion. Relative to the oral cavity, clinical lesions include leukoplakia (white mucosal) lesions and erythroplakia (red mucosal) lesions. Leukoplakic lesions can be divided into homogenous (thick white lesion with smooth appearance) and nonhomogenous (thickened leukoplakia with irregular appearing surface). The clinical diagnosis of leukoplakia is not necessarily an indicator and does not necessarily correlate with histopathologic confirmation of an underlying dysplasia. The precancerous potential of leukoplakia is predicated on the fact that keratosis is associated with an increase risk of malignant transformation as compared to non-keratotic oral lesions, and that keratosis is present in a significant percentage (greater than one-third of cases) of oral carcinomas. There is a correlation between the site of leukoplakia and the incidence of an associated dysplasia; the greatest frequency of epithelial dysplasia is found in leukoplakic lesions of the floor of mouth, tongue (lateral and ventral), and vermilion border of the lip. The incidence of malignant transformation for homogeneous leukoplakia is 3% and for non-homogeneous leukoplakia is 15%.

In contrast to leukoplakia, the presence of erythroplakia is thought to correlate with a higher incidence of significant dysplasia (ie, moderate to severe dysplasia) and of carcinomas. Despite this association, not all erythroplakic lesions herald dysplasia/carcinoma; a subset will be attributed to inflammatory etiologies. Oral erythroplakia occurs most commonly on the floor of the mouth, tongue (lateral and ventral), soft palate, tonsillar region, and retromolar region. Given the clinical appearance of
erythroplakia, the surface epithelium is usually devoid of keratinization, and therefore these epithelial dysplasias are usually of the nonkeratinizing (“classical”) type. In erythroplakic lesions, invasive carcinoma is present in 50% of cases, carcinoma in situ in 40%, and mild to moderate dysplasia in 10%. O. Ancillary Testing

It is now well established that human papillomavirus (HPV) plays a pathogenic role in a subset of head and neck cancers, termed HPV-associated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HPV-HNSCC). HPV, in particular the high risk type 16 (HPV-16), is present in most oropharyngeal carcinomas. These carcinomas arise predominantly from the palatine tonsil and lingual tonsils of the oropharynx (ie, tonsil or base of tongue) and are nonkeratinizing carcinomas characterized by a somewhat basaloid morphology recapitulating tonsillar crypt epithelium (not to be confused with the specific variant basaloid squamous cell carcinoma). A similar association has been suggested but not confirmed for oral cavity carcinoma. To date, there are no data linking HPV with laryngeal carcinoma, and the utility of testing for the presence of HPV in laryngeal carcinomas is unproven.
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