Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the Breast

Protocol applies to DCIS without invasive carcinoma or microinvasion.
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Procedures
• Complete Excision (Less Than Total Mastectomy, Including Specimens Designated Biopsy, Lumpectomy, Quadrantectomy, and Partial Mastectomy; With or Without Axillary Contents)
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CAP DCIS Protocol Revision History

Version Code
The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: DCIS 3.0.0.2

Summary of Changes
The following changes have been made since the June 2010 release.

Complete Excision and Mastectomy

Specimen Identification
This heading was added and comprises the following 4 elements (which can be reported on 1 line): “Procedure,” “Lymph Node Sampling,” “Specimen Laterality,” and “Tumor Site.” The elements “Specimen,” “Specimen Integrity,” and “Specimen Size” were removed.

Margins
The format for reporting extent in positive margins was changed.
Margins(s) uninvolved by DCIS: “+Specify closest margin” was added, as follows:

Margins (select all that apply)
___ Margins cannot be assessed
___ Margin(s) uninvolved by DCIS
       Distance from closest margin: ___ mm
       + Specify closest margin: _____________________

Pathologic Staging (pTNM)
Definitions were modified to exclude clinical information.

Distant Metastasis (pM): Added “required only if present.” Definitions have been modified to exclude clinical information (changed from “M” to “pM”).

Ancillary Studies
Reporting on Estrogen Receptor (ER) and Progesterone Receptor (PgR) was modified.

Explanatory Notes
Significant edits were made throughout.
The word “checklist” has been replaced with “case summary” or “protocol” as appropriate (Notes A, B, and M).
“PR” was changed to “PgR.”
References were updated.
Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: June 2012

DCIS OF THE BREAST: Complete Excision (Less Than Total Mastectomy, Including Specimens Designated Biopsy, Lumpectomy, Quadrantectomy, and Partial Mastectomy; With or Without Axillary Contents) and Mastectomy (Total, With or Without Axillary Contents; Modified Radical; Radical)

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen Identification
The following 4 elements identifying the specimen may be listed separately or on 1 line:

Procedure (Note A)
___ Excision without wire-guided localization
___ Excision with wire-guided localization
___ Total mastectomy (including nipple and skin)
___ Other (specify): __________________________
___ Not specified

Lymph Node Sampling (select all that apply) (required only if lymph nodes are present in the specimen) (Note B)
___ Sentinel lymph node(s)
___ Axillary dissection (partial or complete dissection)
___ Lymph nodes present within the breast specimen (ie, intramammary lymph nodes)
___ Other lymph nodes (eg, supraclavicular or location not identified)
  Specify location, if provided: __________________________

Specimen Laterality
___ Right
___ Left
___ Not specified

+ Tumor Site (select all that apply)
+ ___ Upper outer quadrant
+ ___ Lower outer quadrant
+ ___ Upper inner quadrant
+ ___ Lower inner quadrant
+ ___ Central
+ ___ Nipple
+ Position: ___ o’clock
+ ___ Other (specify): __________________________
+ ___ Not specified

Size (Extent) of DCIS (Note C and Figure)
Estimated size (extent) of DCIS (greatest dimension using gross and microscopic evaluation):
at least ___ cm
+ Additional dimensions ___ x ___ cm
+ Number of blocks with DCIS: ___
+ Number of blocks examined: ___

Note: The size (extent) of DCIS is an estimation of the volume of breast tissue occupied by DCIS.

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Histologic Type (Note D)
___ Ductal carcinoma in situ. Classified as Tis (DCIS) or Tis (Paget)

+ Architectural Patterns (select all that apply) (Note E)
  + ___ Comedo
  + ___ Paget disease (DCIS involving nipple skin)
  + ___ Cribriform
  + ___ Micropapillary
  + ___ Papillary
  + ___ Solid
  + ___ Other (specify: ______________________)

Nuclear Grade (Note F)
___ Grade I (low)
___ Grade II (intermediate)
___ Grade III (high)

Necrosis (Note G)
___ Not identified
___ Present, focal (small foci or single cell necrosis)
___ Present, central (expansive “comedo” necrosis)

Margins (select all that apply) (Note H)
___ Margins cannot be assessed
___ Margin(s) uninvolved by DCIS
  Distance from closest margin: ___ mm
  + Specify closest margin: ______________________
  + Specify margins:
    + Distance from superior margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from inferior margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from medial margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from lateral margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from anterior margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from posterior margin: ___ mm
    + Distance from other specified margin: ___ mm
  + Designation of margin: ______________________
___ Margin(s) positive for DCIS
  + Specify margin(s): ________________________
  + For positive margins, specify extent (focal, minimal/moderate, or extensive):
    + Anterior: ______________________
    + Posterior: ______________________
    + Superior: ______________________
    + Inferior: ______________________
    + Medial: ______________________
    + Lateral: ______________________
    + Other (specify margin: ___________________): ______________________

Note: Margin status is listed as “positive” if there is ink on carcinoma (ie, the distance is 0 mm). If the margin is not positive, then a distance from the margin may be listed. Distances can be specific measurements or expressed as greater than or less than a measurement.

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
+ Treatment Effect: Response to Presurgical (Neoadjuvant) Therapy (Note I)
+ ___ No known presurgical therapy
+ ___ No definite response to presurgical therapy
+ ___ Probable or definite response to presurgical therapy

Lymph Nodes (required only if lymph nodes are present in the specimen) (Note J)
Number of sentinel nodes examined: ____
Total number of nodes examined (sentinel and nonsentinel): ____
Number of lymph nodes with macrometastases (>0.2 cm): ____
Number of lymph nodes with micrometastases (>0.2 mm to 0.2 cm and/or >200 cells): ____
Number of lymph nodes with isolated tumor cells (<0.2 mm and ≤200 cells): ____
Size of largest metastatic deposit (if present): ____

Note: The sentinel node is usually the first involved lymph node. In the unusual situation in which a sentinel node is not involved by metastatic carcinoma, but a nonsentinel node is involved, this information should be included in a note.

+ Extranodal extension:
+ ___ Present
+ ___ Not identified
+ ___ Indeterminate

+ Method of Evaluation of Sentinel Lymph Nodes (select all that apply)
+ ___ Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), 1 level
+ ___ H&E, multiple levels
+ ___ Immunohistochemistry
+ ___ Sentinel lymph node biopsy not performed
+ ___ Other (specify): _______________________

Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (Note K)

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
___ r (recurrent)
___ y (posttreatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)
___ pTis (DCIS): Ductal carcinoma in situ
___ pTis (Paget): Paget disease of the nipple not associated with invasive carcinoma and/or carcinoma in situ (DCIS and/or LCIS) in the underlying breast parenchyma.

Note: If there has been a prior core needle biopsy, the pathologic findings from the core, if available, should be incorporated in the T classification. If invasive carcinoma or microinvasion were present on the core, the protocol for invasive carcinomas of the breast should be used and should incorporate this information.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN) (choose a category if lymph nodes received with the specimen; immunohistochemistry and/or molecular studies are not required)

Note: If internal mammary lymph nodes, infraclavicular nodes, or supraclavicular lymph nodes are included in the specimen, consult the AJCC Staging Manual for additional lymph node categories.

Modifier (required only if applicable)
___ (sn): Only sentinel node(s) evaluated. If 6 or more nodes (sentinel or nonsentinel) are removed, this modifier should not be used.

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
Category (pN)
___ Not applicable
___ pNX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis identified histologically

Note: Isolated tumor cell clusters (ITC) are defined as small clusters of cells not greater than 0.2 mm or single tumor cells, or a cluster of fewer than 200 cells in a single histologic cross-section.* ITCs may be detected by routine histology or by immunohistochemical (IHC) methods. Nodes containing only ITCs are excluded from the total positive node count for purposes of N classification but should be included in the total number of nodes evaluated.

___ pN0 (i-): No regional lymph node metastases histologically, negative IHC
___ pN0 (i+): Malignant cells in regional lymph node(s) no greater than 0.2 mm and no more than 200 cells (detected by H&E or IHC including ITC)
___ pN0 (mol-): No regional lymph node metastases histologically, negative molecular findings (reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction [RT-PCR])
___ pN0 (mol+): Positive molecular findings (RT-PCR), but no regional lymph node metastases detected by histology or IHC
___ pN1mi: Micrometastases (greater than 0.2 mm and/or more than 200 cells, but none greater than 2.0 mm).
___ pN1a: Metastases in 1 to 3 axillary lymph nodes, at least 1 metastasis greater than 2.0 mm
___ pN2a: Metastases in 4 to 9 axillary lymph nodes (at least 1 tumor deposit greater than 2.0 mm)
___ pN3a: Metastases in 10 or more axillary lymph nodes (at least 1 tumor deposit greater than 2.0 mm)

* Approximately 1000 tumor cells are contained in a 3-dimensional 0.2-mm cluster. Thus, if more than 200 individual tumor cells are identified as single dispersed tumor cells or as a nearly confluent elliptical or spherical focus in a single histologic section of a lymph node, there is a high probability that more than 1000 cells are present in the lymph node. In these situations, the node should be classified as containing a micrometastasis (pN1mi). Cells in different lymph node cross-sections or longitudinal sections or levels of the block are not added together; the 200 cells must be in a single node profile even if the node has been thinly sectioned into multiple slices. It is recognized that there is substantial overlap between the upper limit of the ITC and the lower limit of the micrometastasis categories due to inherent limitations in pathologic nodal evaluation and detection of minimal tumor burden in lymph nodes. Thus, the threshold of 200 cells in a single cross-section is a guideline to help pathologists distinguish between these 2 categories. The pathologist should use judgment regarding whether it is likely that the cluster of cells represents a true micrometastasis or is simply a small group of isolated tumor cells.

Distant Metastasis (pM) (required only if present)
___ Not applicable
___ pM1: Distant detectable metastasis as histologically proven larger than 0.2 mm

Note: The MX designation has been eliminated from the AJCC/UICC TNM system. Definitions have been modified to exclude clinical information.

The presence of distant metastases in a case of DCIS would be very unusual. Additional sampling to identify invasive carcinoma in the breast or additional history to document a prior or synchronous invasive carcinoma is advised in the evaluation of such cases.

+ Additional Pathologic Findings (Note L)
+ Specify: ____________________________

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
+ **Ancillary Studies (Note M)**

+ **Estrogen Receptor (ER)**
  + Results and interpretation:
    + ___ Positive (__ % of tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
      + Average intensity of tumor cell nuclei staining:
        + ___ Weak
        + ___ Moderate
        + ___ Strong
    + ___ Negative (<1% of tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
    + ___ Negative (no tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
    + ___ Results unknown
    + ___ Other (specify): ___________________

Note: If is optional to provide additional information using other scoring systems. If the study was performed on a different specimen, the specimen number should be provided.

+ **Progesterone Receptor (PgR)**
  + Results and interpretation:
    + ___ Positive (__ % of tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
      + Average intensity of tumor cell nuclei staining:
        + ___ Weak
        + ___ Moderate
        + ___ Strong
    + ___ Negative (<1% of tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
    + ___ Negative (no tumor cells with nuclear positivity)
    + ___ Results unknown
    + ___ Other (specify): ___________________

Note: It is optional to provide additional information using other scoring systems. If the study was performed on a different specimen, the specimen number should be provided.

+ **Microcalcifications (select all that apply) (Note N)**
  + ___ Not identified
  + ___ Present in DCIS
  + ___ Present in nonneoplastic tissue
  + ___ Present in both DCIS and nonneoplastic tissue

+ **Clinical History (select all that apply) (Note O)**
  + The current clinical/radiologic breast findings for which this surgery is performed include:
    + ___ Palpable mass
    + ___ Radiologic finding
      + ___ Mass or architectural distortion
      + ___ Calcifications
      + ___ Other (specify): ___________________
    + ___ Nipple discharge
    + ___ Other (specify): ___________________

    + ___ Prior history of breast cancer
      + Specify site, diagnosis, and prior treatment: ___________________
    + ___ Prior neoadjuvant treatment for this diagnosis of DCIS
      + Specify type: ___________________

Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
A. Breast Specimens and Breast Procedures

Breast Specimens
The following types of breast specimens and procedures may be reported with the case summary:

Excisions. Removal of breast tissue without the intent of removing the entire breast. The nipple is only rarely removed with excisions. Excisions include specimens designated biopsies, partial mastectomies, lumpectomies, and quadrantectomies.

- Wire-guided localization excisions: If a nonpalpable lesion is detected by mammography, ultrasound, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a wire is placed to identify the location of the lesion for the surgeon. Mammography or ultrasound should be used to document the presence of the targeted lesion in the excised tissue. The specimen radiograph (if performed) and the results of the radiologic evaluation should be available to the pathologist. Because MRI utilizes vascular uptake, it is not possible to image the specimen by using this technique to document the presence and location of the lesion.
- Excisions without wire localization: These excisions are generally performed for palpable masses or to excise major ducts behind the nipple to evaluate nipple discharge.

Total Mastectomy. Removal of all breast tissue, including the nipple and areola.

- Simple mastectomy: This procedure consists of a total mastectomy without removal of axillary lymph nodes.
- Skin-sparing mastectomy: This is a total mastectomy with removal of the nipple and only a narrow surrounding rim of skin.
- Modified radical mastectomy: This procedure consists of a total mastectomy and an axillary dissection. In the case summary, the breast and lymph node specimens are documented separately.
- Radical mastectomy: This procedure consists of a total mastectomy, axillary contents, and removal of the pectoralis muscles and currently is performed only rarely. This type of specimen and procedure can be indicated on the case summary as “Other.”

The following types of specimens should not be reported using this protocol:

- Very small incisional biopsies (including core needle biopsies).
- Excisions containing only DCIS after a core needle biopsy or other specimen showing invasive carcinoma or DCIS with microinvasion (invasion measuring ≤0.1 cm). This type of case should be reported by using the protocol for invasive carcinoma of the breast, and the report should incorporate information from the prior needle biopsy.

Specimen Sampling
Specimen sampling for specimens with DCIS has the following goals:

- The clinical or radiologic lesion for which the surgery was performed must be examined microscopically. If the lesion is a nonpalpable imaging finding, the specimen radiograph and/or additional radiologic studies may be necessary to identify the lesion. When practical, the entire specimen should be submitted in a sequential fashion for histologic examination. If this is not possible, at least the entire region of the targeted lesion should be examined microscopically. If DCIS, lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS), or atypical hyperplasia is identified, all fibrous tissue should be examined.
- All other gross lesions noted in the specimen must be sampled.
The margins must be evaluated for involvement by DCIS. If the specimen is received sectioned or fragmented, this should be noted, as this will limit the ability to evaluate the size of the lesion and the status of margins. If the specimen is an incisional biopsy, margins need not be evaluated.

For specimens with a known diagnosis of DCIS (eg, by prior core needle biopsy) it is highly recommended that the entire specimen is examined using serial sequential sampling to exclude the possibility of invasion, to completely evaluate the margins, and to aid in determining extent.\(^7\)-\(^9\) If an entire excisional specimen or grossly evident lesion is not examined microscopically, it is helpful to note the approximate percentage of the specimen or lesion that has been examined.

Carcinomas present in excisions removed for lesions seen best by MRI studies are generally not grossly evident and not seen on specimen radiography.

Recording the specimen size is important, as the volume of tissue excised has been associated with the likelihood of recurrence.\(^10\)

Tissue may be taken for research studies or assays that do not involve the histologic examination of the tissue (eg, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction [RT-PCR]) only when taken in such a way to be able to evaluate the tissue for small areas of invasion. For example, a thin slice of tissue taken for research studies should be matched with an adjacent slice of tissue that will be examined microscopically.

### B. Lymph Node Sampling

Patients with DCIS may have lymph nodes sampled in the following situations:

- **Extensive DCIS**: Patients with extensive DCIS are more likely to have areas of invasion and it may be difficult or impractical to examine all involved areas of the breast microscopically.\(^11\)-\(^13\) A lymph node with a macrometastasis would indicate an occult area of invasion.
- **Pathologic findings on a prior needle biopsy or excision raising concern for invasion or microinvasion (invasion measuring ≤0.1 cm in size)**: If invasion has been documented, the protocol for invasive carcinoma of the breast\(^1\) should be used.
- **Imaging findings (eg, an irregular mass) or clinical findings (eg, a large palpable mass) that increase the likelihood that stromal invasion is present.**\(^12\)
- **Planned mastectomy**: The additional sampling of low lymph nodes or a sentinel lymph node does not result in increased morbidity. If the node or nodes are negative, and invasive cancer is found, another surgical procedure for node sampling can be avoided.

Most tumor cells in lymph nodes of patients with DCIS would be classified as isolated tumor cells.\(^14\),\(^15\) Artifactual displacement of epithelium within a lymph node can occur following a core needle biopsy; this finding should not be considered isolated tumor cells or a micrometastasis.\(^16\),\(^17\) If a larger nodal metastasis is found and the breast tissue has not been entirely submitted for microscopic examination, additional sampling should be considered to attempt to identify invasive carcinoma.\(^11\),\(^13\)

Grossly uninvolved nodes should be submitted in their entirety for histologic examination, whereas a representative section of a grossly positive node may be submitted. Small nodes (eg, 0.2 to 0.3 cm) may be submitted intact, but larger nodes should be thinly sectioned. An accurate assessment of the number of positive lymph nodes is a critical prognostic indicator.

Sentinel lymph nodes are identified as such by the surgeon, generally by uptake of radiotracer or dye.
C. Size (Extent) of DCIS

Although not required for pT classification or stage assignment, the size (extent) of DCIS is an important factor in patient management. Extent (as determined by a number of different methods) is correlated with the likelihood of residual disease after re-excision, close or positive margins, local recurrence, and the possibility of missed areas of invasion. Extent is not as important for predicting local recurrence when wide margins are obtained.

Extent is an estimation of the volume of breast tissue involved by DCIS. Mammographic assessment of DCIS, usually based on distribution of calcifications, frequently underestimates, and sometimes overestimates, the extent of DCIS. Precise measurement of extent is generally difficult or impossible for the following reasons:

- DCIS involves the ductal system in a complex 3-dimensional branching pattern that is usually only apparent by microscopic examination. When gross findings are present (e.g., areas of tissue thickening and/or punctate necrosis), they often do not correspond to the entire area of involvement.

- The ductal system and surrounding tissue is highly compressible. Specimens may be distorted during surgery or specimen processing or compressed during specimen radiography.

- Diagnostic gaps in ductal involvement may be present (particularly for low-grade DCIS).

- DCIS is often not removed in 1 excision and may be present in multiple specimens from a surgical procedure or in multiple specimens from multiple procedures. This is more likely in cases of large areas of involvement.

The mean or median extent of DCIS is 1.4 to 2.7 cm but ranges from 0.1 cm to extensive involvement of all 4 quadrants of the breast. Although a precise measurement is often not possible, an estimate of the extent of DCIS is clinically important (Table 1).

Table 1. Extent of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) and Clinical Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 2 cm</td>
<td>Breast conservation with wide negative margins can be achieved in most women. Microscopic examination of the entire area involved by DCIS is recommended and should be possible in most cases. This will require complete microscopic examination of smaller biopsies, or sampling of large excisions or mastectomies to include all areas likely to contain DCIS (e.g., tissue with radiologic calcifications or grossly abnormal tissue).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2-4 cm</td>
<td>Wide negative margins may be difficult to achieve in some women with breast-conserving surgery. Microscopic examination of the entire area involved by DCIS is recommended but may be difficult to achieve in some cases. This will require complete microscopic examination of smaller biopsies or sampling of large excisions or mastectomies to include all areas likely to contain DCIS (e.g., tissue with radiologic calcifications or grossly abnormal tissue).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 cm</td>
<td>Breast conservation with wide negative margins may be impossible to achieve in some women. Microscopic examination of the entire area involved by DCIS is recommended but may be impractical in some cases. This will require complete microscopic examination of smaller biopsies or selective sampling of large excisions or mastectomies to include areas likely to contain DCIS (e.g., tissue with radiologic calcifications or grossly abnormal tissue). There is a possibility of undetected areas of invasion if the area involved by DCIS is not completely examined. Lymph node sampling may be recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are multiple methods for estimating the extent of DCIS (see Figure):

- **DCIS in 1 block:** The area involved by DCIS can be measured from a single slide, if DCIS is present in only 1 block. If separate foci are present, the largest distance between foci should be reported. This method will underestimate the extent of DCIS when multiple blocks are involved and should not be used in such cases.\(^8\)

- **Serial sequential sampling:** The entire specimen is blocked out in such a way that the location of each block can be determined. The extent of the DCIS can be calculated by using a diagram of the specimen, the thickness of the slices, and the location of the involved blocks.\(^7,9\) This method is recommended for all excisions likely to harbor DCIS or with previously diagnosed DCIS (eg, by diagnosis on a prior core needle biopsy).

- **Nonsequential sampling:** The number of blocks involved by DCIS is correlated with the extent of DCIS up to 4 cm.\(^8\) Multiplying the number of blocks involved by DCIS by the approximate width of a tissue section gives an estimate of the extent. In 2 studies, multiplying by 0.3 cm underestimated the extent of DCIS, and multiplying by 0.5 cm may overestimate the extent.\(^8,9\) Therefore, multiplying by 0.4 cm is recommended unless there is additional information that a different number would yield a more accurate result. This method may underestimate extent if
not all areas of DCIS are sampled. Therefore, it is recommended that all tissue likely to be involved by DCIS be sampled (eg, all grossly abnormal tissue and all tissue with radiologically suspicious calcifications). When feasible, the entire specimen should be examined microscopically.

This method may result in a larger estimation of extent than the serial sequential sampling method when DCIS is present in a large volume of tissue in 3 dimensions rather than in a predominantly linear distribution. The best estimate for correlation with outcomes (eg, residual disease or recurrence) will require further studies.

This method can be applied to any specimen and will give a better estimation of extent than measuring extent on a single slide when multiple blocks contain DCIS.

- **Margins:** If DCIS involves or is close to 2 opposing margins, the distance between the margins can be used as the extent of the DCIS within the specimen.

- **Gross lesions:** In some cases of high-grade DCIS, there may be a gross lesion that can be measured. Confirmation of the gross size must be confirmed by microscopic evaluation.

The largest estimate obtained using any of these methods should be used to report the estimated size (extent) of the DCIS.

**D. Histologic Type**

This protocol applies only to cases of DCIS. The protocol for invasive carcinoma of the breast applies if invasion or microinvasion (less than or equal to 0.1 cm) is present. This protocol should not be used for classic/typical LCIS (eg, extent and margins are not generally reported) but can be used for rare difficult to classify cases of carcinoma in situ with features of both DCIS and LCIS (eg, architectural patterns of both DCIS and LCIS or cytologic features of LCIS (without expression of e-cadherin), but with high-grade nuclei and/or necrosis). In some cases, clinicians may choose to treat such cases as DCIS.

When DCIS involves nipple skin only, without underlying invasive carcinoma or DCIS, the classification is DCIS (ie, Tis [Paget]). The majority of these cases are strongly positive for HER2.

**E. Architectural Pattern**

The architectural pattern has been reported traditionally for DCIS. However, nuclear grade and the presence of necrosis are more predictive of clinical outcome.

**F. Nuclear Grade**

The nuclear grade of DCIS is determined using 6 morphologic features (Table 2).
Table 2. Nuclear Grade of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Grade I (Low)</th>
<th>Grade II (Intermediate)</th>
<th>Grade III (High)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleomorphism</td>
<td>Monotonous (monomorphic)</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Markedly pleomorphic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>1.5X to 2X the size of a normal RBC or a normal duct epithelial cell nucleus</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>&gt;2X the size of a normal RBC or a normal duct epithelial cell nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatin</td>
<td>Usually diffuse, finely dispersed chromatin</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Usually vesicular with irregular chromatin distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoli</td>
<td>Only occasional</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Prominent, often multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoses</td>
<td>Only occasional</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>May be frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Polarized toward luminal spaces</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Usually not polarized toward the luminal space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definition: RBC, red blood cell.

G. Necrosis

The presence of necrosis is correlated with the finding of mammographic calcifications (ie, most areas of necrosis will calcify). DCIS that presents as mammographic calcifications often recurs as calcifications. Necrosis can be classified as follows:

- **Central ("comedo"):** The central portion of an involved ductal space is replaced by an area of expansive necrosis that is easily detected at low magnification. Ghost cells and karyorhectic debris are generally present. Although central necrosis is generally associated with high-grade nuclei (ie, comedo DCIS), it can also occur with DCIS of low or intermediate nuclear grade. This type of necrosis often correlates with a linear and/or branching pattern of calcifications on mammography.

- **Focal (punctate):** Small foci, indistinct at low magnification, or single cell necrosis.

Necrosis should be distinguished from secretory material, which can also be associated with calcifications, cytoplasmic blebs, and histiocytes, but does not include nuclear debris.

H. Margins

Whenever feasible, the specimen should be oriented in order to identify specific margins.

Margins may be identified by sutures or clips placed on the specimen surface or by other means of communication between surgeon and pathologist and should be documented in the pathology report. Margins can be identified microscopically in several ways, including the use of multiple colored inks, by submitting the margins in specific cassettes, or by the surgeon submitting each margin as a separately excised specimen. Inks should be applied to the surface of the specimen, taking care to avoid penetration into the specimen.

If margins are sampled with perpendicular sections, the pathologist should report the distance from the DCIS to the closest margin, when possible. Due to the growth pattern of DCIS in the ductal system, a negative but close margin does not ensure the absence of DCIS in the adjacent tissue.

A positive margin requires ink on DCIS. If the specimen is oriented, the specific site(s) of involvement (eg, superior margin) should also be reported.

The deep margin may be at muscle fascia. If so, the likelihood of additional breast tissue beyond this margin (and therefore possible involvement by DCIS) is extremely small. A deep muscle fascial margin (eg, on a mastectomy specimen) is unlikely to have clinical significance.
A superficial (generally anterior) margin may be immediately below the skin, and there may not be additional breast tissue beyond this margin. However, some breast tissue can be left in skin flaps, and the likelihood of residual breast tissue is related to the thickness of the flap.  

Specimen radiography is important to assess the adequacy of excision. Compression of the specimen should be minimized, as it can severely compromise the ability to assess the distance of the DCIS from the surgical margin. Mechanical compression devices should be used with caution and preferably reserved for nonpalpable lesions that require this technique for imaging (eg, microcalcifications).

If DCIS is present at the margin, the extent of margin involvement is associated with the likelihood of residual disease:

- Focal (eg, DCIS at the margin in a <0.1 cm area in 1 block)
- Minimal/moderate (between focal and extensive)
- Extensive (eg, DCIS at the margin in an area ≥1.5 cm or in 5 or more low-power fields and/or in 8 or more blocks)

I. Neoadjuvant Therapy
Patients may be treated with endocrine therapy, chemotherapy, or HER2-targeted therapy prior to surgical excision, either as part of a protocol or during treatment of a contralateral carcinoma. It has been observed after treatment of women with invasive carcinoma that the invasive carcinoma may respond to a greater extent than the accompanying DCIS. The histologic changes occurring in DCIS after treatment have not been well described and will likely vary with the specific agents used. Comparison to a pretreatment specimen is necessary to attribute histologic changes to the effects of treatment. The significance of histologic changes in DCIS is unknown.

If the patient had invasive carcinoma prior to treatment, but only DCIS after treatment, additional classification systems are available to evaluate residual carcinoma in the breast and lymph nodes.

J. Lymph Nodes

**Reporting Lymph Nodes**
The pathology report should state the total number of lymph nodes examined (including the number of sentinel nodes), the number of nodes with metastases, and the greatest dimension of the largest metastatic focus. If a patient has at least 1 macrometastasis, only nodes with micro and macrometastases are included for the total number of involved nodes for N classification. Nodes with isolated tumor cells are not included in this count.

One section from grossly involved nodes may be examined. All other lymph nodes should be thinly sectioned and entirely submitted for microscopic evaluation. A single H&E section from each lymph node block is considered sufficient for routine evaluation. If additional studies are performed, these should be documented (ie, additional H&E levels or immunohistochemical studies). The presence of extranodal tumor extension should be included in the pathology report because it may be associated with a higher frequency of axillary recurrence.

If lymph node sampling has not been performed, a response to this section is not required, although the “Not applicable” answer selection may be selected. If information about a prior lymph node sampling is unavailable, “X” is used rather than a number in the N designation. A pN classification requires removal of lymph nodes with pathologic examination.

The classification is based on axillary lymph node dissection with or without sentinel lymph node dissection. Classification based solely on sentinel lymph node dissection without subsequent axillary
Dissection is designated (sn) for “sentinel node,” eg, pN0(i+)(sn). If 6 or more lymph nodes are examined (including sentinel and non-sentinel lymph nodes), the modifier (sn) is not used.

Isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are defined as single tumor cells or small cell clusters not greater than 0.2 mm and numbering less than 200 cells. They may be detected by routine histologic examination or by immunohistochemical (IHC) or molecular methods. ITCs do not usually show evidence of malignant activity (eg, proliferation or stromal reaction), but micrometastases may show these changes.

Almost all tumor cells present in lymph nodes of patients with DCIS are isolated tumor cells or the cells may be artifactually displaced from a previous procedure. Isolated tumor cells detected in cases of DCIS have not been shown to have prognostic importance. If a larger metastasis is found, additional tissue sampling and review of slides are helpful to determine if an area of invasion is present.

K. Pathologic Staging
The tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system maintained collaboratively by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) is recommended.

Pathologic Classification
The pathologic classification of a cancer is based on information acquired before treatment supplemented and modified by the additional evidence acquired during and from surgery, particularly from pathologic examination of resected tissues. The pathologic classification provides additional precise and objective data. Classification of T, N, and M by pathologic means is denoted by use of a lower case “p” prefix (pT, pN, pM).

Pathologic T. Tumor size should be recorded in whole millimeters. If the size is reported in smaller units such as a tenth or hundredth of a millimeter, it should be rounded to the nearest whole millimeter for reporting stage. Rounding is performed as follows: one through four are rounded down, and five through nine are rounded up. For example, a breast tumor reported as 4.2 mm in size should be recorded for staging as a 4-mm tumor, and a 4.7-mm tumor should be recorded as a 5-mm tumor. If the tumor is not resected, but a biopsy of the primary tumor is performed that is adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, the pT classification is assigned. Some disease sites have specific rules to guide assignment of pT category in such cases.

Pathologic N. At least one node with presence or absence of cancer documented by pathologic examination is required for pathologic staging N. A tumor nodule with a smooth contour in a regional node area is classified as a positive node. The size of the metastasis, not the size of the node, is used for the criterion for the N category.

Specialized pathologic techniques such as immunohistochemistry or molecular techniques may identify limited metastases in lymph nodes that may not have been identified without the use of the special diagnostic techniques. Single tumor cells or small clusters of cells are classified as isolated tumor cells (ITCs). The standard definition for ITC is a cluster of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest diameter. Cases with ITC only in lymph nodes are classified as pN0. This rule also generally applies to cases with findings of tumor cells or their components by nonmorphologic techniques such as flow cytometry or DNA analysis.

Pathologic M. The pathologic assignment of the presence of metastases (pM1) requires a biopsy positive for cancer at the metastatic site. Pathologic M0 is an undefined concept and the category pM0 may not be used. Pathologic classification of the absence of distant metastases can only be made at autopsy. It would be extremely rare to have distant metastasis in examples of DCIS, and would surely indicate an unsampled area of invasive carcinoma.
Posttherapy or Postneoadjuvant Therapy Classification (yTNM). Cases where systemic and/or radiation therapy are given before surgery (neoadjuvant) or where no surgery is performed may have the extent of disease assessed at the conclusion of the therapy by clinical or pathologic means (if resection performed). This classification is useful to clinicians because the extent of response to therapy may provide important prognostic information to patients and help direct the extent of surgery or subsequent systemic and/or radiation therapy. T and N are classified by using the same categories as for clinical or pathologic staging for the disease type, and the findings are recorded by using the prefix designator y (eg, ycT; ycN; ypT; ypN). The yc prefix is used for the clinical stage after therapy, and the yp prefix is used for the pathologic stage for those cases that have surgical resection after neoadjuvant therapy. The M component should be classified by the M status defined clinically or pathologically prior to therapy.

Retreatment Classification. The retreatment classification (rTNM) is assigned when further treatment is planned for a cancer that recurs after a disease-free interval. The original stage assigned at the time of initial diagnosis and treatment does not change when the cancer recurs or progresses. The use of this staging for retreatment or recurrence is denoted with the r prefix (rTNM). All information available at the time of retreatment should be used in determining the rTNM stage. Biopsy confirmation of recurrent cancer is important if clinically feasible. However, this may not be appropriate for each component, so clinical evidence for the T, N, or M component by clinical, endoscopic, radiologic, or related methods may be used.

Multiple tumors. If there are multiple simultaneous areas of DCIS in the breast, Tis remains the appropriate choice. For simultaneous bilateral examples of DCIS, each DCIS is classified separately as independent tumors in different organs.

Metachronous primaries. Second or subsequent primary examples of DCIS occurring in the same organ or in different organs are staged as a new DCIS with the TNM system. Second DCIS examples are not staged using the y prefix unless the treatment of the second cancer warrants this use.

Residual tumor and surgical margins. The absence or presence of residual tumor after treatment is described by the symbol R. pTNM describe the extent of cancer in general without consideration of treatment. pTNM can be supplemented by the R classification, which deals with the tumor status after treatment. In some cases treated with surgery and/or with neoadjuvant therapy there will be residual tumor at the primary site after treatment because of incomplete resection or local and regional disease that extends beyond the limit or ability of resection. The presence of residual tumor may indicate the effect of therapy, influence further therapy, and be a strong predictor of prognosis. In addition, the presence or absence of disease at the margin of resection may be a predictor of the risk of recurrent cancer. The R category is not incorporated into TNM staging itself. However, the absence or presence of residual tumor and status of the margins may be recorded in the medical record and cancer registry.

The absence or presence of residual tumor at the primary tumor site after treatment is denoted by the symbol R. The R categories for the primary tumor site are as follows:

- **R0**  No residual tumor
- **R1**  Microscopic residual tumor
- **R2**  Macroscopic residual tumor
- **RX**  Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed

The margin status may be recorded using the following categories:

Negative margins (tumor not present at the surgical margin)
Microscopic positive margin (tumor not identified grossly at the margin, but present microscopically at the margin)
Macroscopic positive margin (tumor identified grossly at the margin)
Margin not assessed

I. Additional Pathologic Findings
In some cases, other pathologic findings are important for the clinical management of patients.

If the biopsy was performed for a benign lesion and the DCIS is an incidental finding, this should be documented. An example would be the finding of DCIS in an excision for a palpable fibroadenoma.

Peritumoral vascular invasion is a very rare finding in association with DCIS alone. Additional sampling should be considered to attempt to identify an area of invasion. If there has been prior surgery or needle biopsy, the possibility of artifactual displacement of epithelial cells into lymphatics should be considered. Lymph node biopsy may be performed in patients with DCIS and lymphovascular invasion.

If there has been a prior core needle biopsy or incisional biopsy, the biopsy site should be sampled and documented in the report. If the intention was to completely re-excise a prior surgical site, the report should document biopsy changes at the margin that could indicate an incomplete excision. This protocol should only be used for re-excisions that reveal the largest extent of DCIS.

M. Hormone Receptors
The hormone receptor status of DCIS may be evaluated for multiple reasons. The primary use of this information is to determine if patients with DCIS would benefit from hormonal therapy.

Two studies have addressed outcomes for patients with DCIS undergoing hormonal therapy, and both studies showed that fewer women in the tamoxifen treated group developed subsequent breast cancers: 18% versus 14% in the UK/ANZ study and 13.4% versus 8.2% in the NSABP B24 study. However, this result was only statistically significant in the NSABP study. It is possible that the younger age of the patients in this study could have influenced these results, as a smaller benefit was observed in women over the age of 50. There was no benefit for survival in either study.

A subsequent analysis of estrogen receptor (ER) status for DCIS in a subset of patients in the NSABP trial showed that the reduction in subsequent breast cancers was greatest for women with ER-positive DCIS treated with tamoxifen. Little benefit was found in women with ER-negative DCIS, but due to the small number of events, a small clinically significant benefit was not excluded.

The Update Committee of the American Society of Clinical Oncology concluded that current data are insufficient to make a general recommendation for the use of ER status of DCIS to make decisions about tamoxifen treatment. National Comprehensive Cancer Network practice guidelines include determination of ER status as part of the work-up of DCIS. Although progesterone receptor (PgR) is often ordered in conjunction with ER, there are almost no data on the association of PgR status and DCIS. As a result, many institutions do not assess PgR on cases of DCIS. In addition to considerations for hormonal treatment, information about hormone receptor and HER2 status in DCIS may be useful for other reasons in some settings. As in invasive carcinoma, these markers identify different types of DCIS, including “ER-positive,” “HER2-positive,” and “triple-negative” cancers. For invasive carcinomas, these immunoprofiles identify groups with different prognoses and response to different types of treatments. The usefulness of these markers for determining outcome or response to treatment for DCIS is under investigation. Some ongoing treatment protocols require marker information in DCIS for entry. In addition, recurrent carcinomas, in general, have the same immunoprofile as the prior DCIS. Therefore, this information may be helpful for some patients and clinicians in making decisions about local treatment that could affect the likelihood of such a recurrence.
Because marker status in DCIS is used for clinical purposes and not for diagnosis, the decision to perform these markers should be made in conjunction with the clinicians who will use this information.

The results of hormone receptor stains performed on a prior core needle biopsy can be included in the case summary for an excisional specimen. If the result of the study on the core needle biopsy is negative, repeat studies on a larger area of DCIS in the excisional biopsy may be considered.

Most (75% to 80%) cases of DCIS are ER positive, with strong immunoreactivity in the majority of cells (Table 3). Almost all cases of ER-negative DCIS are of high nuclear grade, and many are associated with necrosis.

Table 3. Classification of Immunohistochemical Results for Estrogen Receptor (ER) and Progesterone Receptor for Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunoreactive tumor cells present</td>
<td>In most cases of DCIS, the majority of tumor cells will be positive for ER.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In unusual cases, only rare cells may be positive (&lt;10%). Although the clinical significance of this finding is currently unknown, it may be of value to include this finding in a note.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No immunoreactive tumor cells present</td>
<td>This category should be used for cases of DCIS with no cells showing immunoreactivity for hormone receptors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Testing has been ordered and will be reported at a later date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>Hormone receptor status may not be required for the care of some patients (eg, bilateral mastectomies, patients with contralateral ER-positive invasive carcinoma).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>This category should be explained with a note and may include the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Insufficient DCIS on deeper levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Immunohistochemical studies were performed on a prior core needle biopsy, but the results are not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the interpretation, each pathology report should specify the type of fixation and processing (eg, formalin-fixed paraffin embedded sections), the antibody clone, the general form of detection system used, and the scoring system used. Any deviation from the laboratory’s standard processing and antigen retrieval protocol should be included. Appropriate positive and negative controls should be used and documented. If normal breast epithelial cells are not immunoreactive for estrogen receptor, the test should be repeated and, if confirmed, this result should be noted.

N. Microcalcifications

DCIS found in biopsies performed for microcalcifications will almost always be at the site of the calcifications or in close proximity.\textsuperscript{2,3,5} The presence of the targeted calcifications in the specimen should be confirmed by specimen radiography. The pathologist must be satisfied that the specimen has been sampled in such a way that the lesion responsible for the calcifications has been examined microscopically. The relationship of the radiologic calcifications to the DCIS should be indicated.

O. Clinical Information

It is a Joint Commission requirement that clinical information be provided for pathology specimens. Relevant clinical information is often necessary for the accurate evaluation of breast specimens and includes:
Patient Information
Family history of breast or ovarian cancer and/or BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation
Current pregnancy or lactation
Prior breast biopsy or surgery (including implants)
Prior breast cancer diagnosis (type, location in breast, date of diagnosis)
Prior treatment that could affect the breast:
  - Radiation
  - Chemotherapy
  - Hormonal therapy (e.g., tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors, or oral contraceptives)
  - Systemic diseases that may affect the breast (e.g., collagen vascular disease, sarcoidosis, Wegener granulomatosis)

Specimen Information
Type of lesion sampled:
  - Palpable mass
  - Nipple discharge
  - Nipple lesion (e.g., scaling crust)
Imaging finding
  - Mammographic or ultrasound mass: shape of mass (irregular, circumscribed, ill defined, cystic or solid)
  - Mammographic calcifications
  - Mammographic architectural distortion
  - Prior core needle biopsy site, with or without a clip, with or without residual radiologic or clinical lesion
  - MRI-detected lesion
Type of specimen:
  - Excision without wire localization
  - Excision with wire localization – for these specimens, the specimen radiograph with an interpretation should be available to the pathologist
  - Nipple duct excision
  - Total mastectomy
  - Lymph node specimen (sentinel node, nonsentinel node, limited axillary dissection, complete axillary dissection)
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